## In The Matter Of: *PRIM*

## Minutes of the Board Meeting February 26, 2020



Min-U-Script® with Word Index

```
2
    ATTENDEES:
1
    BOARD MEMBERS:
2
    Treasurer Deborah B. Goldberg, Chair
3
    Robert L. Brousseau
4
    Ruth Ellen Fitch
5
    Paul E. Shanley, Esquire
6
    Peter Monaco
7
    Dennis J. Naughton
8
    Carly Rose
9
    Michael Trotsky, Executive Director,
10
    Chief Investment Officer
11
    OTHER ATTENDEES:
12
    Michael Trotsky, Executive Director
13
    Sue Perez, Deputy Treasurer
14
    James Quirk, Retirement Boards
15
    Patrick Brock, Hampshire County
16
    Retirement Board
17
    Jonathan Osimo, Asst. Executive Director, MTRS
18
   Millie Viqueira
19
    Michael Baryski
20
    Cosmo Macero, O'Neill & Associates
21
    Anthony Falzone, PRIM
22
    Darlene Coppola, Stenographer
23
    Matthew Liposky
24
    Thomas Carotsianno
```

## Minutes of the Board Meeting - February 26, 2020

```
3
1
    Also Present:
    Jackie Wells
2
3
    Phillip Nelson
    Pilar Sorensen
4
5
    William Keefe
    Minching Kao
6
7
    David Griswold
8
    Ellen Hennessy
    Emily Green
9
10
    Grace Gao
    Liu Liu
11
12
   Sara Coelho
13
    Jay Leu
14
    Bill Li
15
    Eleni Klempner
    Maria Garrahan
16
17
    Eric Nierenberg
   Sarah Zatoonian
18
19
    Michael McGirr
20
    Allysa Fiore
21
    Michael Bailey
22
    David Gurtz
23
    Chuck LaPosta
24
    Andre Abouhala
```

## Minutes of the Board Meeting - February 26, 2020

```
4
1
    Also Present:
2
   John LaCara
  Christina Marcarelli
3
  Tim Schlitzer
5
  Francesco Daniele
  George Tsipokis
7 Deborah Coulter
8 Marliese Lawson
  Daniel Eckman
10 Maria Clements
11
  Emily Kowtonik
12 Liz Zelnick
13 Chris Supple
14
   Steffanny Rosario
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
5
1
            (Proceedings commencing at 9:32 a.m.)
                     MS. PEREZ: Good morning.
2
    Sue Perez, Deputy Treasurer. The Treasurer
3
    will be here shortly.
4
             I'll just get it started. We have a
5
    very full agenda, so we'll jump right on it.
6
7
             So let me just read the opening.
             The Massachusetts Open Meeting Law
8
    permits meetings to be recorded and states
9
10
    that the Chair shall inform attendees at the
11
    beginning of the meeting of any such
12
    recording.
13
             So, accordingly, I am informing you
14
    that Darlene Coppola, seated here to my left,
15
    is transcribing and also recording this
16
    meeting.
17
             If anyone else is in attendance today
    recording the meeting, I would ask that you
18
    identify yourself.
19
20
                         (Brief pause.)
21
                     MS. PEREZ: Okay. Seeing no
22
    one.
23
             Also, for the benefit of our
    stenographer and for all those who are
24
```

	6
1	listening, please identify yourself by name
2	when you are speaking, and please speak
3	clearly and audibly.
4	The PRIM Board has adopted the
5	provision of the Massachusetts Open Meeting
6	Law that permits remote participation in
7	meetings by a member if physical attendance
8	would be unreasonably difficult, as is the
9	case with Dennis Naughton, who accordingly
10	will participate in today's meeting remotely
11	by telephone.
12	And all votes in today's meeting will
13	be taken by roll call.
14	We ask those participating by phone
15	please mute their line when not speaking in
16	order to eliminate any background noise that
17	could potentially disrupt the meeting.
18	With that, I will jump right into the
19	agenda.
20	The first item is the approval of the
21	PRIM Board minutes of the November 14, 2019,
22	meeting.
23	Do we have a motion?
24	MR. BROUSSEAU: So moved.

```
7
1
                    MS. FITCH: Second.
2
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: As it's a
    consent, this is all five of those all at
3
4
    once.
5
                    MS. PEREZ: Let the PRIM Board
    approve the following consent agenda items as
6
7
    described in the expanded agenda: approval of
    the PRIM Board minutes of the November 14,
    2019, meeting; approval of the issuance of a
9
10
    request for proposals for asset allocation
11
    and/or benchmarking advisory services;
12
    approval of an issuance of a request for
13
    proposals for public markets advisory
    services; approval of the issuance of a
14
15
    request for proposals for general real estate
16
    consulting services, Timberland consulting
17
    services, and/or project consulting services;
    and approval of the issuance of a request for
18
    proposals for global custody services; and
19
    further to authorize the executive director to
20
21
    take all actions necessary to effectuate this
22
    vote.
23
                    MR. BROUSSEAU:
                                     So moved.
24
                    MS. FITCH:
                                 I second.
```

```
8
1
                     MS. PEREZ: Roll call.
 2
                     MS. MC GOLDRICK: I have to
3
    state, for the minutes, I wasn't here.
                     MR. TROTSKY: It's a roll call.
 4
5
    Just call one by one.
                     MS. PEREZ: Peter?
 6
7
                     MR. MONACO: Approve.
                    MS. PEREZ: Jim?
8
9
                    MR. HEARTY: Yes.
10
                    MS. PEREZ: Ruth?
11
                    MS. FITCH: Yes.
                     MS. PEREZ: Bob?
12
13
                    MR. BROUSSEAU: Yes.
14
                    MS. PEREZ: Paul?
15
                    MR. SHANLEY:
                                   Yes.
16
                    MS. PEREZ: Dennis?
17
                    MR. NAUGHTON: Yes.
18
                    MS. PEREZ: Theresa?
19
                    MS. MC GOLDRICK: Yes, except
    for the minutes.
20
                                           Any
21
                   MS. PEREZ: All right.
22
    opposed?
23
                  So the motion carries.
24
             The next item is going to be you.
```

1 it was very favorable. I think she did a great job, and it put us in a good light. 2 Other guests here today, John 3 Osimo is here from MTRS. He's the Assistant 4 Executive Director. 5 Thank you for coming. 6 7 Chuck Kostro, Executive Director for Essex Regional is here. 8 Nick Favorito. 9 10 I saw you, Nick. Yes. 11 Nick is the Executive Director for the Mass. State Employees Retirement System. 12 Thank you for coming. 13 Bill Keefe, Assistant Deputy Director 14 of PERAC is here. 15 16 Thank you for coming. Patrick Brock, of course, from 17 Hampshire County and on the Admin and Audit 18 Committee as well as the Comp. Committee. 19 And also Michele Whitham is here with 20 21 us. 22 Thank you for coming, Michele. 23 She's on the Admin and Audit Committee and also is the chair of the Compensation 24

```
1
    Committee.
             So thank you for coming.
2
             And one special guest that I want to
3
    recognize, Michael Baryski.
4
5
             Michael, where are you?
             Michael is here from a brand-new
6
7
    client that we all know, the MBTA.
8
             So thank you very much for coming. A
    brand-new client, we're really happy to have
9
10
    you on Board. I hope you find today's meeting
11
    useful.
             So with that, I'll move on to my
12
    comments. And I thought -- before we begin
13
14
    today's agenda, I thought it would be
15
    appropriate to comment quickly on the recent
16
    market weakness that's on the news and on
17
    everyone's mind.
             Last week the S&P 500 was down 1.2
18
19
    percent, last week.
20
             And on Monday the sell-off continued
21
    with a decline of more than 3.3 percent, it's
22
    biggest point decline in more than two years.
23
             That was continued yesterday. The
    sell-off continued again with another 3
24
```

```
12
1
    percent drop.
             Bonds rallied and the ten-year
2
    treasury sank to 1.3 percent. That's an
3
    all-time low on the ten-year treasury.
4
             Two weeks ago, for those of you who
5
    were here at the Investment Committee meeting,
6
7
    we acknowledged the positive sentiments in the
    market at that time, as indices were reaching
8
    new all-time highs.
9
10
             And we highlighted two investment
11
    manager headlines which predicted and I'll
12
    quote, "a synchronized global expansion," and
13
    another quote, "the end of the boom-bust cycle
    as we know it."
14
15
             We talked about that, as you remember,
16
    and we highlighted those headlines with some
17
    healthy skepticism only two weeks ago.
             We discussed whether some modestly
18
19
    improving pockets of economic data -- good
20
    morning, Treasurer.
21
22
              (Treasurer Goldberg arrives.)
23
24
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG:
                                          Good
```

```
13
1
    morning.
2
                    MR. TROTSKY: We discussed
3
    whether some modestly improving pockets of
4
    economic data would be the lasting point
5
    leading to a strong and sustained worldwide
6
7
    economic recovery.
8
             More specifically, we noted a few
    points that I will repeat right now.
9
10
             Number one, we said that while some
11
    economic indicators appeared to be
12
    bottoming -- this is two weeks ago -- it was
   up from very low levels, and there was not a lot
13
14
   of room for economies to absorb a large shock.
15
             Two weeks ago we specifically
16
    mentioned the possibility of the coronavirus
17
    being such a shock.
             Number two, we said that there was
18
   still a lot of positive spin being applied to
19
20
   economic data releases.
21
             I've given some examples of that.
22
          example, in Q4, GDP growth, by some
23
     estimates, was overstated by one-time
24
     adjustment. The Q4 GDP growth was quite
```

- 1 strong.
- Number three, we also highlighted that
- 3 the manufacturing data still remained very
- 4 weak across the Board.
- As we sit here today, I'm amazed at
- 6 how quickly market sentiments can change and
- 7 how quickly the confidence of market
- 8 participants can change, and I've been doing
- 9 this for nearly 30 years now.
- 10 Today the fear is about a global
- 11 synchronized slow-down, where two weeks ago it
- 12 was the exact opposite.
- I need to hold up what I showed you
- 14 last week. It was an economic report that
- 15 said "synchronized global expansion," two
- 16 weeks ago.
- 17 The coronavirus has been spreading.
- 18 Now there are approximately 80,000 cases
- 19 reported. Obviously, in addition to the great
- 20 human tragedy it's causing, the virus will at
- 21 least have a temporary negative impact on
- 22 global growth. But right now there is no way
- 23 to determine when it will be contained, how
- 24 much slow-down it will cause, and if economies

1 will be able to withstand the shock.

Two weeks ago we highlighted weak manufacturing trends that were largely being ignored or spun in a positive light. That also changed last week when the IHS Markit measure of manufacturing service sector activity fell to the lowest level in six years, confirming what we already knew two weeks ago, that manufacturing slowness continues.

So sentiments were sky-high going into the Investment Committee meeting, and markets were hitting record highs. Now sentiment is obviously very low, as you see in the past couple of days and extreme volatility has returned.

Today we'll be discussing our annual asset allocation work. And as you know, the work resulted in a recommendation that was approved unanimously in the Investment

Committee to make no changes to our asset allocation policy. I think that should be reassuring to everybody.

I remind you that our asset allocation

1 is a strategic allocation that is built for the long term, and we do not believe in 2 tactical, short-term asset allocation. We 3 have observed that nobody is consistently good 4 at it. 5 Moreover, we're very pleased that our 6 7 carefully engineered PRIT Fund portfolio has 8 been performing very well in both up and down 9 markets. 10 I'll review our strong performance in 11 the calendar year 2019 in a moment. As you know, the PRIT Fund was up 12 16 1/2 percent, more than 16 1/2 percent, in 13 14 what was a very strong year for the markets. But when we take a look at the last five 15 16 years, the PRIT Fund performed very well in 17 calendar year 2017, which was another strong year. Calendar 2017, a 60/40 mix, was up 15.4 18 percent. And that PRIT Fund fared better and 19 20 was up 17.7 percent that year. Similarly, in 2016 markets were up 21 22 6 percent, and the PRIT Fund fared better. Ιt 23 was up 8 percent that year. 24

Those were the performance numbers in

```
1
    the strongest last three years. Those were
2
    the three strongest years in the last five.
             But perhaps more important -- and I
3
    want to stress this -- is the PRIT Fund has
4
    also been outperforming in down markets.
5
             In 2015, calendar 2015, for example,
6
7
    markets were down more than 1 percent, but
    again the PRIT Fund fared better and was
8
    actually up 1.1 percent that year.
9
10
             In calendar 2018, as you know, a very
11
    weak market, where 60/40 mix was down 5.5
    percent, again the PRIT Fund fared better and
12
    was down only 1.8 percent.
13
14
             Strong performance in both up markets
15
    and down markets is not an easy feat, and it's
16
    exactly what we strive for here at PRIM.
17
             It's a strong indication that our
    portfolio is balanced; it's risk controlled;
18
19
    and it performs strongly in all conditions.
20
    That is the take-away that I'd like to leave
21
    you with in these comments.
22
             I hope you can take comfort in this
23
    highly uncertain time and this volatile time
    that the PRIT Fund is carefully constructed,
24
```

1 and we expect that it will be able to continue 2 to perform well in both up markets and down markets. That is what we're trying to do 3 4 here. No changes to the asset allocation mix 5 that you'll see today. You don't need to 6 7 approve it, really, because there are no But that means that we are still very 8 confident in our current portfolio and in the 9 10 direction we're heading. 11 So we have a busy agenda today, twelve voting items, including the consent agenda 12 that we just did. So I will try to keep my 13 comments more brief that I did during the 14 committee meetings. A more detailed overview 15 16 is contained in the expanded agenda. 17 As we do each year, we present our annual plans and our annual asset allocation 18 recommendations, but first an organizational 19 20 update, unless there are any questions on the 21 opening remarks about the market. I think Patrick Brock asked me on the 22 23 way in whether we are doing anything in 24 reaction real time to the market activity, and

1 the answer is no, except for monthly rebalancing, where we do monthly rebalancing, 2 and we decided yesterday that since we're 3 overweight long duration treasuries and long 4 duration treasuries are up so much, we'll be 5 sourcing some needed cash from that asset 6 7 class, but that's just standard. 8 So on the organizational update, I'm delighted to announce two new hires at PRIM, 9 10 who are both here today. One started on 11 Monday and one started yesterday. The first new employee, if you will, 12 will be very familiar to you all. I'm pleased 13 14 to announce that Alyssa Fiore, Alyssa -there's Alyssa, has rejoined. 15 16 TREASURER GOLDBERG: I was going 17 to say, Where did you come from? MR. TROTSKY: She's rejoined the 18 PRIM private equity team, reporting to Michael 19 20 Bailey as an Investment Officer. 21 Alyssa originally joined the team in 22 2016 as an investment analyst and was promoted 23 in 2018 to investment officer. She was here 24 at PRIM for approximately three years before

```
1
    leaving to join JP Morgan.
             Alyssa has a bachelor's degree in
2
    finance and global perspectives from Bentley
3
    University and is a CFA charter holder.
4
             We're delighted that you have decided
5
    to come back to us, and we look forward to
6
7
    your excellent work. Many of us are very
    familiar with you.
8
             I also think it is an excellent
9
10
    indication that Mike Bailey, sitting next to
11
    her, and actually the entire team at PRIM,
    handled her resignation at the time with
12
    respect and understanding. And I don't think
13
14
    there are any burned bridges.
15
             We're glad to have you back.
16
             And by the way, Alyssa is the second
17
    person to leave and then come back to PRIM.
             You may remember that our CFO Deb
18
    Coulter behind me at one time left to join
19
20
    Baupost, the investment group here in Boston.
21
    But thankfully, we got her back too.
22
             And I believe -- there's laughter in
23
    the room, but I believe that these are things
    to celebrate. I think we're doing something
24
```

```
1
    right when that happens.
             So welcome back.
2
             Next I'm happy to announce that Eleni
3
   Klempner has joined PRIM as a real asset
4
   Investment Officer, and she'll be reporting to
5
   Eric Nierenberg. She most recently worked at
6
7
   the real estate capital markets group of Wells
   Fargo Bank as a commercial real estate
   underwriter. Prior to that, she was employed
10
   by Sable Financial Group, Tremont Realty
11
   Capital, and DG Capital.
             And you'll like this, Treasurer, she
12
   also spent two years as an elementary school
13
   teacher in the Brookline public schools.
14
15
             Eleni graduated magna cum laude and
16
   phi beta kappa with a BA in economics from
17
   Hamilton College, where she was also captain of
   the track and field team. And she also holds
18
19
   an MA in elementary education from Boston
20
   University.
21
             Please join me in welcoming these
22
   excellent two new editions, one new and one
23
   familiar. Welcome.
24
```

```
1
             In December we announced two
2
    promotions. And, of course, that's an
    extremely satisfying thing to reward and
3
    recognize talented staff members. It's really
4
    one of the best parts of my job here at PRIM,
5
    and I'm happy to share the following staff
6
7
    promotions.
8
             First, Sara Coelho.
             Sara, are you here? Great.
9
10
             Sara was promoted to Senior Corporate
11
    Accountant. She joined PRIM as a corporate
    accountant in 2018 and quickly became a
12
    valuable member of that team, where she has
13
14
    taken a leading role in streamlining the
15
    quarterly close and annual budget processes.
16
    Sara has taken on additional responsibilities
17
    after the retirement of Finance Manager Kathy
    Hodges and will soon be responsible for PRIM's
18
    benefits administration. Her role will
19
20
    continue to expand. Sara reports to Dan
21
    Eckman, who is behind me, who is our Director
22
    of Finance and Administration.
23
             Then Maria Garrahan. Maria, who
    you'll hear from later today, was promoted to
24
```

1 Senior Investment Officer and director of 2 research. She joined PRIM as an Investment Officer in 2018 and has designed a thorough 3 asset allocation framework, helped implement 4 PRIM's proprietary suite of analytics across 5 the entire organization, developed a data 6 7 infrastructure playbook, collaborated on projects such as senior housing research with 8 the real estate team and the public markets 9 10 team. She collaborated on microcap equities research, and she created the ESG primer, 11 which was presented to the Board at the Board 12 retreat, and she continues to work on our ESG 13 14 efforts. 15 Maria and her team are responsible for 16 coordinating investment research across all 17 asset classes to help drive innovation at She'll be responsible for the build-out 18 of the PRIM research team, and she will 19 20 continue to report to Eric Nierenberg, our 21 Chief Strategy Officer, seated next to her. 22 The research team really is the third pillar 23 of the strategies group, along with Risk, headed by Jay Leu, and PCS, Portfolio 24

1 Completion Strategies, headed by Bill Li, 2 seated next to Jay. Please join me in congratulating Sara 3 and Maria for their promotions. 4 5 Two quick congratulations, one due to Sara Zatoonian, Sara on the Private Equity 6 7 team for passing the CFA Level 1 exam. 8 In our Real Estate Committee, Lydia Chesnick, who is not here, was recognized last 9 10 year as one of Connect CRE Women in Real 11 Estate honorees. She is on our Real Estate Committee, and that's a great honor. 12 13 As you may already know, I was honored on December 3rd with the Institutional 14 15 Investor Magazine's Lifetime Achievement Award 16 at a ceremony at New York City. That was a 17 great honor for me. And really, the entire PRIM staff and committee and Board members 18 19 deserve much of the recognition. Your work 20 enabled that recognition. 21 It was recently pointed out to me -- I 22 think by Bob -- that with nearly ten years as 23 Executive Director, I am now the longest serving ED in PRIM's history. 24

1	It's been a very rewarding experience,
2	but as I said before and I'll say again today,
3	I feel like we're just getting started.
4	Also, on a more personal note, in
5	December I was named Governing Trustee on the
6	Dana-Farber Cancer Institute's Board, and I
7	would like to thank Treasurer Goldberg for her
8	support and her endorsement as I went through
9	Dana-Farber's screening and selection process
10	last fall.
11	I'm extremely excited to give back to
12	an institution that is one of the world's
13	finest cancer centers, if not the very finest.
14	And as you know, the Dana-Farber is near and
15	dear to me and my family, and I can't be more
16	thrilled to join that Board.
17	Any questions on anything
18	organizational?
19	The hiring continues. We'll have more
20	announcements, I think, quite soon.
21	Turning to markets and PRIT Fund
22	performance.
23	Calendar 2019, which we reported in
24	this committee cycle, was a very strong and

```
26
1
    surprising year.
             If you remember, at this time last
2
    year, we discussed challenging and volatile
3
    2018.
4
             A 60/40 mix of stocks and bonds was
5
    down 5.6 percent for the year in 2018. In the
6
7
    fourth quarter of 2018, December in
    particular, was particularly dismal.
8
             The PRIT Fund was down for the year in
9
10
    2018 but much less, down 1.8 percent, 2.3 net
11
    of fees for calendar 2018. Again, compared
    with a 60/40 mix, which was down 5.6 percent.
12
13
             Last year at this meeting, we
    highlighted data that showed slowing global
14
    growth and lots to fear.
15
16
             We discussed vulnerability in the
    global economy, including tariffs and trade
17
    tensions with our trading partners, and we
18
    discussed political gridlock and in-fighting
19
20
    in our own government.
21
             Indeed, worldwide economies did slow
22
    dramatically last year. Some even contracted.
23
    Trade tensions, lower material prices, weak
```

consumer demand, tight dollar liquidity, and

```
1
    political in-fighting continues unabated.
                                                And
    despite our recognition of the ominous
2
    forecast, just about everything we warned
3
    about at this time last year coming true,
4
    despite all that, calendar 2019 was the second
5
    strongest year in our ten-year history at
6
7
    PRIM.
8
             The S&P up more than 31 percent last
    year. Developed international markets up 22
9
   percent. And emerging markets up 23 percent.
10
11
             The PRIT Fund was up 16.6 percent in
    calendar year 2019. That's 16.1 percent net.
12
    Our second best performance in more than ten
13
14
    years.
15
             What's even more surprising, last year
16
   bonds were also very strong. And it is unusual
17
   for both bond markets and equity markets to
   rise simultaneously. Usually, falling yields
18
   in the bond market indicate that investors are
19
   fearful about the future.
20
             Diversified bonds last year were up
21
22
    9 percent, and long bonds were up 21 percent
23
    last year as yields fell.
24
             Today the ten-year treasury is
```

1 yielding around 1.3 percent, more than a full percentage point lower than at this time last 2 year, when it was around 2.6 percent. That's 3 hardly a beacon of hope about future 4 5 prospects. So we're very grateful for such a 6 7 strong and unexpected calendar 2019. The PRIT 8 Fund performed so strongly even though we didn't really anticipate such strong markets 9 10 going into the year. 11 The performance of 16.6 percent growth, 16.1 percent net, last year means that 12 we added 11.1 billion to the pension fund, 13 which now stands at 79 billion dollars. 14 15 And our performance over the last one, 16 three, five, and ten years is comfortably 17 above our required rate of return, the actuarial return of 7.25 percent. 18 19 ten-year return, for example, net of fees is 20 8.6 percent. 21 And all of this, all of this strong 22 performance over the last ten years was 23 accomplished while we have been strategically and gradually lowering our global equities 24

```
1
    exposure during this ten-year market rally.
             It's really reassuring that our
2
    portfolio is performing so strongly
3
    considering that we've moved equities from
4
    more than 50 percent down to below 40 percent.
5
             And perhaps even more important is
6
7
    that last year's very welcomed, if unexpected,
8
    strong performance and perhaps the most recent
    sell-off that we've seen in the last couple of
9
10
    weeks really underscores the importance and
11
    validity of PRIM's core investment beliefs,
12
    which I think I should repeat.
13
             Number one, our core investment belief
    is that nobody can predict the future, so we
14
15
    don't try.
16
             Nobody can predict the stock market,
17
    so we don't try.
             And as a result, after those,
18
19
    remember, we don't make any tactical asset
20
    allocation decisions or any investment
    decisions based on market or economic
21
22
    predictions. We just haven't seen any
23
    evidence that investors are consistently good
    at it.
24
```

Instead we engineer a strategic, 1 long-term asset allocation policy that we hope 2 will stand the test of time over a long time 3 horizon and over varied market conditions. 4 Number four, we believe that any 5 investment must be evaluated on three equally 6 7 important parameters: return, risk, and cost. 8 We're maniacally focused on that here at PRIM. Every investment we make, we are 9 10 focused on those things, and we believe that 11 it is incomplete to evaluate any investment idea on anything less than all three. 12 13 And number five, we value a basis point of cost reduction more than we value a 14 15 basis point of return. And why is that? It's 16 because you can count on the savings every 17 year, year in, year out. They compound. nobody ever really knows what the market will 18 deliver. 19 20 We mentioned two weeks ago at the 21 Investment Committee that we'll be watching 22 closely for more confirming data to support 23 the very strong markets of 2019 and, in fact, the early part of 2020. 24

```
Obviously, in the last two weeks we've
1
    not seen such confirming data and maybe to the
2
    contrary. Yet we continue to believe that the
3
    PRIT Fund is appropriately positioned for the
4
    uncertainties that lie ahead.
5
             I'll turn quickly to the markets in
6
7
    PRIT Fund performance, but let me put things
8
    in perspective.
             Number one, since the world financial
9
10
    crisis, the S&P 500 is up more than 450
11
    percent cumulatively in the last ten years.
    It's been a huge run. So the numbers I'm
12
    about to tell you and the shock that we're all
13
14
    feeling from the last two weeks, and the last
15
    two days in particular, are really just a
16
    blip.
17
             For example, in February -- February's
    been tough, but the S&P is down only by 2.9
18
19
    percent. And for the year, the S&P is only
20
    down 2.9 percent.
21
             And if you look at a 60/40 mix of
22
    stocks and bonds, you know bonds have been
23
    strong. For the year the 60/40 mix is down
```

only 1 percent.

```
So in the grand scheme of things, it's
1
2
    just a blip.
             Please turn to Appendix B, page 3,
3
    I'll start on, and I'll review the PRIT Fund
4
5
    performance quickly.
             Page 3 is a graph of the one quarter
6
7
    ending December 31st. And you can see on the
    left emerging markets, developing
8
    international, and US equities were all
9
10
    strong. Long-duration treasuries were weaker
11
    as yields rose. But I will say that that's
    reversed, as long-duration treasuries are now
12
    up 16 percent for the year; whereas, just at
13
14
    the end of last year, they were trailing.
15
    things are very volatile.
16
             The PRIT Fund is up 4.6 percent.
17
    That's slightly underperformed, the 60/40 mix.
    And that's due to the fact that PE -- and it's
18
19
    a very rare occurrence that private equity
20
    slightly underperformed public equities, and
21
    that's why.
22
             PRIM had -- if you look on the right,
23
    you can see that Timberland was down 2.2
    percent. I'll just say a word about that.
24
```

```
We did talk about that in more detail
1
    at the Real Estate Committee, but PRIM had an
2
    appraisal write-down on the Pacific Northwest
3
    Timberland parcels on lower price
4
    expectations. And those lower price
5
    expectations were primarily a result of
6
7
    tariffs and lowered Chinese orders, and that
    gets reflected out over a long period of time.
8
             The next page, you can see the
9
10
    one-year end of December 31st. For the year,
11
    you can see that it was a great year for
    equities, US equities leading the way.
12
    Long-duration treasuries also very strong as
13
14
    yields fell. Very unusual. Everything was up
15
    across the Board.
16
             The PRIT Fund was up 16.6 percent,
    trailing the 60/40 mix mainly because of PE,
17
    private equity, lagging public markets.
18
19
    very unusual year.
20
             Next page, you can see a longer time
21
    horizon. You can see one-, three-, five-, and
22
    ten-year history.
23
             First, for the year, 16.6 percent
24
    gross gain equates to a 16.1 percent net gain,
```

essentially, in line with the benchmark. 1 Assets stood at 79.1 billion. 2 I mentioned that that's an investment 3 gain of \$11.1 billion. I've also mentioned to 4 various constituents, that 11.1 billion is 5 nearly a quarter of the entire state budget. 6 That's a big additive thing for this state. 7 Number three, net outflows to pay 8 benefits were 1.3 billion for the year. We do 9 10 pay pension benefits out of this pool of assets. \$1.3 billion is about the average 11 12 amount we pay on a yearly basis. 13 You can see that three-, five-, and ten-year numbers very strong and above 14 15 benchmark, and, most important, above the 16 actuarial rate of return or required rate of 17 return, even the ten-year number at 9 percent. And I'll end on page 6 because you'll 18 be hearing more from each asset class about 19 20 their performance. But you can see global 21 equities led the way, followed by private 22 equity and core fixed income. Very unusual 23 for core fixed income and equities to be up so

strong in the same year. And, again,

```
1
    everything positive.
2
             It was a great year. I'm very
    thankful for it. And, again, each asset class
3
    will go into a little more detail.
4
5
             Those are my comments. I'll take any
    questions.
6
7
             We do have a busy agenda. But rest
    assured, we have a lot of confidence in this
8
    portfolio being positioned appropriately for
9
10
    what lies ahead.
11
                    MR. BROUSSEAU:
                                     Michael,
12
    Timberland and probably Tim can answer that.
             Our holdings in Australia, are they --
13
14
    are they still subject to these tariffs?
                                               Ι
15
    don't know if they started harvesting this
16
    wood yet. Probably not. I don't know.
17
             If it goes from Australia to China,
    which has been a large importer, especially of
18
    American -- of hard woods, do these tariffs
19
20
    kick in even though they're coming from a
21
    foreign country?
22
             And, also, I guess we were pretty much
23
    spared the wildfires down there.
24
                    MR. SCHLITZER: So the first
```

```
1
    question, I think, our view, maybe up until
    recently, is that Australia and New Zealand
2
    has probably benefited, frankly, from the
3
    tariffs on Pacific Northwest timber.
4
5
    just a different source of supply to that
    market.
6
7
             But, obviously, there are other things
8
    going on, the coronavirus being the most
    recent, which has impacted the entire market.
9
10
             Related to the wildfires, yes, I would
11
    say that we were spared more or less. There's
    still another month left in fire season -- the
12
    fire season over there. So we're certainly
13
14
    not out of the woods. But the existing fires
15
    that were publicized, I think we're sort of at
16
    the back end of those and thinking more about
17
    salvage and replanting at this point.
                    MR. BROUSSEAU: So the wood
18
19
    going, let's say, to China from Australia,
20
    would be subject to the tariffs?
21
                    MR. SCHLITZER: No.
                                          It would
22
    not, no.
23
                    MR. BROUSSEAU: So that's the
24
    good point about having these foreign
```

```
37
1
    holdings, when you look at Pacific Northwest
    as being subjected to the tariffs.
2
                     MR. SCHLITZER: Right. It's a
3
    diversification benefit, I guess.
4
                     MR. TROTSKY: Apparently, we're
5
    having an audio problem.
6
7
             Dave Griswold will be redialing. So
    let's just give him a second to do that.
8
9
10
                        (Brief pause.)
11
12
                     MR. TROTSKY: I'm sorry that we
13
    dropped you off. I hope you can hear us now.
14
15
                         (Brief pause.)
16
17
                     MR. TROTSKY: I guess we should
    still do roll call, just in case he joins us
18
    again.
19
20
                     MR. NAUGHTON: I can hear you
21
    now.
22
             Thank you.
23
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG:
24
    are -- you're done?
```

1 MR. TROTSKY: No, I need to say a couple of words on annual plans. 2 As you know, one of the duties in the 3 charter is that I approve divisional business 4 5 plans, as appropriate. And as we do each year, each senior 6 7 staff member and each asset class head prepares an annual plan for 2020. 8 We are presenting a summary of those 9 10 plans in Appendix D and also on page 10 11 through 12 of your expanded agenda. I would invite you all to review 12 13 Appendix B in the coming days and contact me with your feedback and comments so that the 14 15 plans may be revised as appropriate. Once 16 your comments have been collected and 17 incorporated into the plans, they will be finalized. 18 19 We have similarly requested feedback from all current committee members. 20 21 Essentially, the plans are very 22 consistent with last year's plans. I don't 23 think you will find anything off the beaten 24 path.

```
TREASURER GOLDBERG:
1
                                          Any
2
    questions or comments on that?
             Okay. So beginning with Eric and his
3
           Maria Garrahan and Eric Nierenberg.
4
    team.
5
                    MR. Nierenberg: Good morning,
6
    everyone.
7
             As can be seen from the size of the
8
    agenda, this has been a very busy period, so
    I'll keep my comments very brief.
9
10
             But I'm proud of the team we've built.
11
    You'll be hearing today first from Maria and
    then Jay and then Bill. It will be a little
12
    bit of musical chairs going on.
13
             We also had an update at the
14
15
    Investment Committee that Matt Liposky had
16
    prepared about Project Save. We're not going
17
    to go through that today. But if you have
    questions about that, we're happy to answer
18
    those as well.
19
20
             And we're, of course, pleased to have
21
    Eleni joining our team.
22
             We continue to expand and work on a
23
    lot of interesting projects.
24
             What you'll be hearing about today,
```

1 from strategy at least, covers asset allocation, which Maria will take you through; 2 benchmarking recommendations, which is Jay 3 Leu; and then an innovative co-investment 4 5 program, along with proposals to invest in several new managers for hedge funds in real 6 7 assets, which Bill Li will be talking about. 8 The team collaborates closely, and you'll see firsthand evidence in the 9 10 presentations as we're referring to work that 11 other pillars of strategy are working on. With that, unless there's any 12 questions on high-level strategy, Bill will 13 14 take you through the performance of PCS in a 15 little bit, but unless there's any questions 16 or comments about the overall strategy group, 17 I'll turn it over to Maria to take you through asset allocation. 18 19 MS. GARRAHAN: I'm here today to 20 present the asset allocation primer. We discussed this new methodology last 21 22 year. 23 It redefines our objective function to 24 tailor the approach to look at the probability

41

1 of achieving our dual objective. What Michael alluded to earlier is 2 this year's results in his presentation of 3 allocation 2020 are that we will not be 4 5 recommending any changes to the asset class 6 ranges. Just a reminder, our process is 7 strategic in nature, which means we don't do 8 tactical asset allocation here at PRIM. 9 10 The reason for this is our long-term 11 mindset and our portfolio composition for years, given the mix of illiquid assets, 12 doesn't necessarily make sense to do tactical 13 asset allocation. 14 15 For years PRIM has been managing our 16 asset allocation within the recommended 17 ranges. Please turn to Appendix E, page 2. 18 19 As you can see here, this is PRIM's 20 current approved asset allocation ranges. The middle column -- here is denoted 21

The middle column -- here is denoted as actual weight, which means the actual exposure as of the middle of January.

22

23

24

For example, equity is 10.9 percent,

1 which was an actual exposure that we had for private equity in the middle of January. 2 Our research findings continue to show 3 that private equity and real estate are still 4 attractive asset classes. 5 The great news about this is that 6 7 there's plenty of head room within those asset 8 classes. As I mentioned earlier, 10.9 percent 9 10 for the actual exposure in private equity, but 11 the range is 10 percent to 16 percent. Last year we did a deep dive with the 12 Real Estate team where we looked into public 13 14 versus private real estate and how that could 15 be beneficial to the portfolio. 16 We did the same, similar collaborative 17 exercise this year with the Director of Portfolio Completion Strategies, Bill Li, to 18 19 further refine hedge funds. He is going to be 20 discussing this in more detail during his

So on page 3, this is just a reminder of this dual objective that we created and discussed last year.

presentation later today.

21

22

23

24

And so the key of what makes us so 1 special at PRIM is that we have this two-sided 2 approach. 3 We have this return objective that is 4 the probability of achieving a 7.25 percent 5 annualized return across the next ten years. 6 7 And then the other side of this objective is this measure of downside protection, which we've defined as achieving no drawdown greater 9 10 than 20 percent within the next three years. 11 Our whole asset allocation approach looks at the nutrients within our portfolio, 12 as we discussed last year, and it models that 13 behavior of the nutrients and ties that back 14 15 to this probability of the dual objective 16 here. 17 So with that, we can move to page 9, the asset allocation recommendation, which is 18 the same recommendation as last year. 19 20 I believe we may be taking a vote just 21 to reaffirm this. 22 And, yes, that's it. 23 So I'll open it up for any questions. It's very short and sweet this year. 24

		45
1	can vote on this. I'm sorry, Michelle.	
2	Teresa?	
3	MS. MC GOLDRICK: Yes.	
4	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Peter?	
5	MR. MONACO: Approve.	
6	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Jim?	
7	MR. HEARTY: Yes.	
8	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Ellen?	
9	MS. FITCH: Yes.	
10	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Me, yes.	
11	Dennis?	
12	MR. NAUGHTON: Yes.	
13	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Thank you.	
14	The motion carries.	
15	Any oh, we didn't have discussion.	
16	Any questions?	
17	Sorry about that.	
18	Are there any questions that we didn't	
19	have?	
20	I think it's pretty straightforward.	
21	It was so straightforward that I forgot to ask	
22	for questions. All right then.	
23	Thank you, Maria. You were so	
24	perfect, I felt like there was nothing to	

```
46
1
    question.
             Jay, benchmarking.
2
                     MR. LEU: Benchmarking
3
    discussion in Appendix G.
4
                     Many of you were at the
5
    Investment Committee meeting for the benchmark
6
7
    discussion where the committee voted to move
    the asset class weighting scheme of the total
8
    PRIT Fund benchmark from the current fixed
9
10
    asset weight scheme to a floating asset weight
11
    or an actual weight scheme.
              I'm going to provide a summary of the
12
    key factors of that discussion, but I'm only
13
14
    going to go through three of the eleven
    slides.
15
16
             So I guess, first go to Slide 3.
17
             I'll frame it. Currently, we have a
    fixed weight asset class weighting scheme for
18
    the total PRIT Fund benchmark.
19
20
             So, for example, if our target range
21
    for global equity is 34 to 44 percent, the
22
    current benchmark uses the fixed midpoint of
23
    39 percent as the asset weight and applies
    that asset weight to the equity asset class
24
```

index.

A floating weight index would use the actual portfolio weight each month. So if the portfolio weight in global equity was 41 percent, that weight would be applied to the asset class index.

So a fixed weight benchmark uses the midpoint of the range.

The floating weight would use actual portfolio weights.

In comparing the fixed and floating weights, I looked at what was most appropriate for PRIM's specific circumstances, and I focused on three main lenses: the illiquid asset class challenges, the asset allocation approach at PRIM, and trading turnover and transaction costs.

So on Slide 3, first thinking about illiquid asset classes such as private equity and real estate, some of the challenges. So managing to a fixed weight may incentivize suboptimal manager selection.

So what does that mean? If private equity is at 12 percent versus a 13 percent

midpoint of the range, Michael Bailey and his
team might be incentivized to pick an average
or even below-average manager to top off to
that 13 percent weight. So it incentivizes
suboptimal manager selection, managing to a
fixed weight.

Furthermore, and more importantly,

Furthermore, and more importantly,
managing to a fixed weight in illiquid asset
classes is infeasible, not just suboptimal.
You cannot put money in and take money out at
a moment's notice. You can't invest in a
private equity deal or buy a building at a
moment's notice. There is no passive
alternative. There is no private equity
derivative.

So managing to a fixed weight with illiquid asset classes is suboptimal and infeasible.

The second lens: The asset allocation approach at PRIM. PRIM's asset allocation approach, as Michael and Maria have said, is strategic, not tactical, not looking to predict short-term moves.

The corollary of this is Michael

Trotsky charges the PRIM staff to focus on manager selection, that is, picking the best managers. Not trying to pick short-term asset class moves.

Second, and more important for the benchmark discussion, the Board and we use target ranges. We don't use a target point. We're focused on a range to give flexibility and address the issues of illiquid asset classes.

And furthermore, any actual weighting within the range is acceptable. If the range is 34 to 44 and we're at 42 percent, we're not overweight equity. We are not 3 percent overweight. We are at the upper end of the range. That is acceptable.

Any weight within the range has similar risk and return characteristics for our long-term objective.

So the fixed weight benchmark, given our asset allocation approach that we use, causes a misalignment between a range on the one hand and a benchmark with a fixed midpoint on the other hand.

And then the third lens is trading 1 turnover, managing to a mid-point. Even if we 2 didn't have illiquid asset classes, if it was 3 just public equity and fixed income, that will 4 generate higher trading and turnover to react 5 to market moves, and that will lead to greater 6 7 transaction costs. 8 I estimated that there would be in a study separately 6 1/2 percent more turnover, 9 10 adding 2 basis points cost per year at the PRIT Fund level going back and forth managing 11 those market moves. 12 13 So that's the illiquid class 14 challenges, asset allocation approach, and 15 then the trading turnover. 16 The next thing I wanted to talk about 17 is just, skipping to Slide 9 in the book, is to talk about noise. And I'll just talk about 18 19 this briefly. 20 So currently, we have a fixed weight 21 benchmark. 22 The portfolio weights will deviate 23 from the midpoint, as we discussed, either by the challenges of illiquid asset classes or by 24

```
1
    relative market moves.
             When the portfolios deviate from that
2
    midpoint, that creates noise. And Michael
3
    Trotsky has referred to that noise as the
4
    actual versus benchmark deviation effect.
5
             So on Slide 9 here, you can see the
6
7
    performance of the PRIT Fund relative to the
    benchmark split into two pieces: the actual
8
    versus benchmark deviation effect, or call it
9
10
    noise, and then manager selection effect,
11
    picking good managers.
             So looking at the first column, you
12
    can see the noise. Some years, it's helped.
13
    Some years, it's hurt. It cost 51 basis
14
15
    points in fiscal year 2019.
16
             And over the entire seven-year period,
    there's been a slight net benefit of 4 basis
17
    points annualized.
18
19
             The volatility of that or standard
    deviation of that noise is 31 basis points.
20
21
             So you have something that is noisy,
22
    netting to close to zero, slight positive over
23
    that entire seven-year period.
```

And then in the second column, as an

24

```
1
    aside, you see the manager selection over the
    seven-year period of 78 basis points. And
2
    this is really a proof statement of what
3
    Michael Trotsky espouses. You don't seek to
4
5
    predict markets. Focus on picking good
    managers, and that's what PRIM's done, adding
6
    78 basis points for manager selection.
7
             So now if we move to Slide 11, with
8
    the recommendation. The recommendation is to
9
10
    change the benchmark weight from the midpoint
11
    of the range that we currently have to the
    actual asset class weight, portfolio weight in
12
    the total PRIT Fund benchmark.
13
14
             And you can see the implications and
15
    benefits. It addresses the challenges of
16
    illiquid investing: reducing turnover and
    transaction costs, consistent with PRIM's
17
    asset allocation approach; and focus on
18
    ranges, not fixed points. It focuses PRIM's
19
20
    staff on manager selection.
21
             And the performance won't be hurt or
22
    helped by the drift within the ranges.
23
    will take the noise out.
24
             And PRIM will continue to manage
```

```
1
    within those bands. It won't change our
    management within the bands.
2
             So with that...
3
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG:
                                          This time
4
    I'm going to be much better behaved.
5
             I'm going to seek a motion. I'm going
6
7
    to seek a second. Then I am going to open it
    up for questions.
             So I would seek a motion that the PRIM
9
10
    Board approve the Investment Committee
11
    recommendation to approve the PRIT Fund
12
    benchmark recommendation as described in
13
    Appendices G and H on the expanded agenda, and
    further to authorize the Executive Director to
14
15
    take all actions necessary to effectuate the
16
    vote.
17
             Is there a motion?
18
                    MR. SHANLEY: So moved.
19
                    MR. BROUSSEAU:
                                     Second.
20
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG:
                                          Now
21
    questions or comments on this?
22
                    MR. BROUSSEAU: Just a comment
23
    to Michael.
24
             Is this -- we're doing this to correct
```

MR. MONACO: Yes.

24

		55
1	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Jim?	
2	MR. HEARTY: Yes.	
3	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Ellen?	
4	MS. FITCH: Yes.	
5	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Dennis?	
6	MR. NAUGHTON: Yes.	
7	TREASURER GOLDBERG: And myself,	
8	yes.	
9	Thank you. That's unanimous, so it	
10	passes.	
11	Bill Li.	
12	MR. LI: Good morning,	
13	everybody. My name is Bill Li. For the	
14	stenographer, my last name is L-i.	
15	So a very quick update on performance	
16	first.	
17	For the entire PCS portfolio, it was a	
18	steady quarter in Q4. The performance was 1.4	
19	percent. That was outperformance of 9 basis	
20	points.	
21	For the direct hedge funds, which is	
22	roughly 80 percent of PCS portfolio, the	
23	return number was 1.6 percent. That was	
24	outperformance of 44 basis points.	

1 And I would like to highlight that, on a risk-adjusted basis, the direct hedge fund 2 portfolio continues to outperform both US 3 equities and bonds with a negligible market 4 5 exposure. And we're pretty content with such a performance profile, especially on the 6 7 risk-adjusted basis. 8 Moving on to hedge fund composition. As Maria Garrahan mentioned, that up until 9 10 now, the team's work has been focused on 11 sourcing stable-value type of hedge funds. They are low-beta and low-risk profile in 12 nature, acting as a risk buffer for the entire 13 PRIT portfolio. 14 However, there's another camp of hedge 15 16 funds. Those are moderate in beta, high 17 alpha, and a slightly more elevated volatility 18 profile. 19 The team has intentionally limited 20 exposure to that subuniverse so far. 21 And in this year's asset allocation 22 exercise, Maria's team tested both 23 subuniverses of hedge funds, just as they have tested the subcategories of real estate last 24

1 year. The conclusion is that, in spite of 2 directional hedge funds elevated volatility, 3 the high alpha potentially is additive to the 4 overall PRIT Fund. 5 So with that in mind, going forward 6 7 starting this year, we will also pay attention to the directional type of funds. It's worth noting that this doesn't mean that we're going 9 10 to have more allocation to the hedge fund 11 bucket, just that we are trying to be more mindful, and we think it's better going 12 forward to communicate how stable value hedge 13 14 funds perform versus other directional, beta-sensitive subuniverse. 15

Moving on if we don't have questions on hedge fund here.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. TROTSKY: Just a comment, I think Paul Todisco, Francisco, and I have been talking about availability of the those hedge funds to clients. And I know, for example, the MBTA is buying hedge funds from us.

Those will be, as far as we can tell,

a part of the available investments for you.

1 They'll be rolled into your hedge fund investments, which I think will be a very 2 positive thing. 3 MR. LI: Thank you for 4 5 mentioning that, Michael. Moving on to update on the enhanced 6 7 equity program. 8 Q4 was another exuberant period for S&P 500. For the quarter, the put spread 9 10 collar strategy's 6 percent return was a good 11 number by itself. However, it underperformed the benchmark by 120 bips. 12 13 We would like to point out that the 14 relative number didn't pick out the program's risk benefit. For instance, an uncertain 15 16 environment like January or year-to-date, the 17 enhanced equity program had seen significant outperformance versus the S&P, as well as the 18 benchmark. That's the risk benefit we have 19 20 underwritten to, and we are pretty satisfied 21 with. 22 With that, we're happy to take any 23 questions. 24 TREASURER GOLDBERG: Any

```
1
    questions on the performance?
2
             Do you want to move on to the program?
                    MR. LI: Yes, please.
3
                    MR. TROTSKY: Well, I just want
4
    to -- I think I've seen the recent performance
5
    of the put spread collar through this
6
7
    volatility, and it's performed quite well.
                    MR. LI: Yes. We can give you
8
    the flavor of the performance. It's not
9
10
    finalized.
                It's an estimate.
11
             So in January that number was
                The program delivered 90-plus
12
    finalized.
    basis points positive return versus S&P's
13
14
    return was slightly negative.
15
             And year-to-date as of end of day
    Monday -- remember, Monday was negative 3.
16
17
    as of Monday, year-to-date outperformance was
    145 basis points.
18
19
                    MR. TROTSKY: A percent and a
20
    half. So it's designed for these kind of
21
    times.
22
                    MR. LI: Yes. It's just an
23
    estimate.
24
             And we'll take the numbers back to
```

```
60
1
    you.
2
                     MR. TROTSKY:
                                   Right.
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG: Okay.
3
                                                  The
    co-investment program recommendation.
4
    don't you present, then we'll do a motion, and
5
    then any questions after that.
6
7
                     MR. LI:
                              Thank you.
8
             For the co-invest recommendation, I'm
    joined by my colleague Chuck LaPosta who is
9
10
    the Senior Investment Officer of fixed income
11
    in our Public Market team.
             Co-investment conceptually offers
12
    significantly lower fees and potentially
13
14
    higher returns.
15
             Back in 2014 PRIM's private equity
16
    team structured co-invest guidelines for you
17
    to vote on. And following the vote, the team
    has begun to implement a smooth process, and
18
    so far we have realized a pretty good return
19
20
    on that part of the portfolio.
21
             So today's recommendation is really a
22
    natural extension of the PE co-invest program.
23
              I'd like to point out that the manager
    relationships are already in place.
24
```

As you know, since years ago, PRIM 1 portfolio completion strategies, as well as 2 OCO, other credit opportunities have 3 structured more than 16 major partnerships 4 with hedge funds and public shops. 5 We have quantified the ideas managers 6 7 have brought to us and upsized in the PRIM 8 account. And we found that the performance of 9 the proposed upsized ideas has been noticeably 10 higher. 11 Going forward, we're hoping that with the lower cost structure, we can further 12 augment the benefit of upsizing best ideas for 13 PRIM's accounts. 14 15 If you could turn to the page --16 Appendix I, page 5 of Appendix I. 17 This table lists out the major separate account partnerships we have already 18 in place. But the co-invest framework will 19 20 not apply to all of them, instead managers of 21 deep fundamental flavor -- that will be 22 relevant in today's program -- versus for the 23 managers in the bottom of the table, which are mostly macro or systematic traders. 24 They will

62 1 be excluded from this program. Chuck will take you through the 2 guidelines and metrics in detail. 3 But firstly, we'd like to highlight in 4 the next slide, Slide 6, that the aligned 5 interests will underpin this exercise. 6 7 If you map out the motives between 8 managers and the investors like us, both parties would want better performance and a 9 10 deepened relationship. And those two are the 11 areas we think our interests are best aligned. And I turn it to Chuck to walk you 12 13 through the metrics. 14 MR. LA POSTA: I'll go through 15 the process a little bit, which is spelled out 16 on pages 8 and 9, but at a high level. 17 Identify the managers with whom we like to do business. We have eleven 18 partners in place that we're currently 19 20 recommending. 21 We will analyze and thoroughly vet the 22 investment, whether it's a fit with our 23 portfolio and a fit within the manager's

24

strengths.

```
We will allow up to a 30 percent
1
    additional allocation of capital, based on
2
    currently deployed capital, to be invested
3
    within the co-investment process.
4
             As I said, PRIM's staff will conduct
5
    due diligence on the proposed investment.
6
7
    We'll include operational risk, legal,
8
    compliance, and support from our consultants.
             We will explore the manager motivation
9
    and the fit within their strength to make sure
10
    it's a good fit within the portfolio. And, of
11
    course, the tenet of this co-investment is
12
    that fees should be less than the primary
13
14
    account investments.
15
             And, also, as with everything we're
16
    doing, all of our investments will be housed
17
    within a separately managed account structure
    that will give PRIM protection and control
18
    similar to our primary investments.
19
             Once the motive structure and deal
20
21
    terms have been vetted, PRIM staff and
22
    consultants will provide investment memos to
23
    the CIO for approval. That approval will be
    communicated to the Board and Investment
24
```

1 Committees as implemented.

this vote.

Again, this is similar to the structure for our PE portfolio that's been in place for over five years, and an extension of that will allow the portfolio completion strategies and other credit opportunities access to other best ideas of our managers.

With that, I'm happy to take any questions.

And actually on page 10 it lists the managers with whom we're recommending putting in place on our co-investment program today.

And then as we hire new managers, we will seek to them to the list as we vet them.

TREASURER GOLDBERG: So I will seek a motion that the PRIM Board approve the Investment Committee's recommendation to approve PRIM's co-investment program for portfolio completion strategies and other credit opportunities, as described in a Appendix I of the expanded agenda, and further, to authorize the executive director to take all actions necessary to effectuate

65 1 Is there a motion? 2 MR. BROUSSEAU: So moved. TREASURER GOLDBERG: 3 Second. 4 MS. FITCH: Second. 5 TREASURER GOLDBERG: Questions and comments for anyone sitting at that end of 6 7 the table? You can even ask Eric. 8 No questions. 9 MR. BROUSSEAU: Very, very 10 thorough. 11 TREASURER GOLDBERG: So, Yes. 12 Dennis, do you have any questions? 13 MR. NAUGHTON: I don't think I 14 do. 15 But I was wondering whether this 16 investment, as I see, I think it contains collateralized loan obligations. If that's 17 correct, I have the same question I had at the 18 last meeting regarding whether that includes 19 20 student loans. 21 MR. LA POSTA: Collateralized 22 loan obligations do not typically include 23 student loan securitizations, but we could

have investments in securitizations that are

24

1 supported by student loans in some of our accounts. Whether or not there are 2 co-investment opportunities is to be 3 determined, and none of anything we're 4 currently considering includes student loan 5 obligations 6 7 MR. NAUGHTON: Thank you. 8 MS. MC GOLDRICK: Every time there's an opportunity, even though these 9 10 managers are on the list, we're still voting on every investment as a Board? 11 12 MR. LA POSTA: You are approving 13 the managers to be on the co-investment bench 14 under the parameters of 30 percent additional 15 capital and co-investments, and then the CIO 16 will approve the recommendation -- I mean 17 approve the actual investments. 18 So you approve the availability 19 of 30 percent investment and co-investments, 20 consistent with managers that we already have 21 investments. 22 MR. TROTSKY: Very similar to 23 how we do it in private equity. It's the same model. 24

		67
1	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Any other	
2	questions?	
3	We have a motion. We have a second.	
4	Roll call.	
5	Bob?	
6	MR. BROUSSEAU: Yes.	
7	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Paul?	
8	MR. SHANLEY: Yes.	
9	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Teresa?	
10	MS. MC GOLDRICK: Yes.	
11	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Peter?	
12	MR. MONACO: Approve.	
13	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Jim?	
14	MR. HEARTY: Yes.	
15	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Ellen?	
16	MS. FITCH: Yes.	
17	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Dennis?	
18	MR. NAUGHTON: Yes.	
19	TREASURER GOLDBERG: And myself,	
20	yes.	
21	Thank you very much. The motion	
22	carries.	
23	We have a specific investment,	
24	Serenitas Credit Gamma Strategy. I would seek	

1 a motion that the PRIM Board approve the investment to approve an allocation of up to 2 150 million to Serenitas Credit Gamma 3 Strategy's separately managed account, as 4 5 described in the expanded agenda and further, to authorize the executive director to take 6 7 all actions necessary to effectuate this vote. Is there a motion? 8 MR. SHANLEY: So moved. 9 10 MR. BROUSSEAU: Second. 11 TREASURER GOLDBERG: Go ahead, 12 Bill. 13 MR. LI: Thank you. 14 So today we're recommending a 150 million initial investment into a separate 15 16 account with Serenitas. Serenitas Capital was launched in 2012 17 by five portfolio managers who used to 18 together work at the structured credit trading 19 20 desk at Merrill Lynch. The team is based in New York. 21 22 2015, Lee Munder Capital Group, which is based 23 in Boston, acquired the Serenitas team, and now all five portfolio managers are employees 24

of Lee Munder.

Serenitas's expertise has been with residential and corporate type of structured credits.

The manager has a strong quantitative background with a sharp focus on valuation.

They have built world-class tools to regularly analyze tens of thousands of corporate bonds to quickly detect misprised opportunities in the market.

We like the manager, in part, because they have very strong discipline, which resulted in all positive years, even during the great financial crisis when they were trading Merrill Lynch's internal money.

Serenitas also excels at finding convex hedges, meaning that when credit spread blows out, the short position will kick in more positive P&L losses from long positions.

And such strong discipline and stable performance profile is also demonstrated in their long track record, since over a decade ago, and their track record with Serenitas since five, six years ago.

```
With that, I'm happy to take any
1
2
    questions that anyone would have.
                    MR. BROUSSEAU: The total AUM is
3
    340 million, and we're going to be up to
4
    $150 million.
5
             Is there a reasonable anticipation
6
7
    that we're going to go over 150 million? Or
    are there other co-investments involved here,
8
    Bill?
9
10
                     MR. LI:
                              To answer the first
11
    question, 150 million factors in a couple of
12
    considerations.
13
             For one, the strategy is very scalable
14
    and the manager, when they were at Merrill
15
    Lynch used to trade was way bigger ticket size
16
    than this.
17
             And for two, even though for this fund
    product alone, the current AUM is around
18
    300 million. They are part of Lee Munder
19
20
    Group, which is a 7 billion asset manager in
21
    Boston.
22
             So in terms of operation, back office,
23
    compliance, and all the other things, they are
    of instructional quality. We have paid
24
```

```
1
    special attention to that.
             Balancing all of the considerations,
2
    we feel 150 is a good place to start with.
3
             And to the second question, co-invest,
4
    in this case Serenitas, they are quant in
5
    nature; did they grow up as a quant. They
6
7
    have two Ph.D. degrees from Stanford, and we
8
    particularly like their model.
             However, in their investment approach,
9
10
    the quant models merely kick out signals to
11
    guide their investment decision-making.
    there's also quality and discretionary
12
    judgment in that process.
13
14
             So Serenitas will be part of the
15
    managers eligible for co-investment program.
16
    That is how we're envisioning this
17
    relationship. But that's a subject to further
18
    conversation.
19
             They are willing to be part of a -- of
20
    the program.
21
                    MR. BROUSSEAU: For one,
22
    whenever I see -- having been here too long, I
23
    guess -- and I see the word "mortgages" and
    anything dealing with them, especially the
24
```

```
method we had in 2008, 2009. I read through
1
    this thing three times, including the backup
2
    materials, before I could get comfortable with
3
    the entire investment, after I read them, and
4
    I digested them, and I became a little
5
    friendly to it.
6
7
             But I think you answered some of the
8
    questions that I had and the concerns that I
    had.
9
10
                    MR. LI:
                              Thank you.
11
                    MR. NIERENBERG:
                                      It's a unique
12
    strategy within this mortgage space.
    say that the approach is very highly technical
13
    and very differentiated, which is, I think,
14
    one of the reasons that Bill was drawn to it
15
16
    in particular.
             You're not alone. It takes a lot to
17
    read through the strategy and understand how
18
    to structure these trends.
19
20
                    MR. BROUSSEAU: It's like
    marketing large chunks of mortgages and
21
22
    selling them off, which created the mess that
23
    we faced.
24
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG:
                                          Any other
```

		74
1	MS. MC GOLDRICK: Yes.	
2	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Peter, are	
3	you on this?	
4	MR. MONACO: Approve.	
5	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Jim?	
6	MR. HEARTY: Yes.	
7	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Ellen?	
8	MS. FITCH: Yes.	
9	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Me, yes.	
10	Dennis?	
11	MR. NAUGHTON: Yes.	
12	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Thank you.	
13	The motion carries.	
14	I seek a motion that the PRIM	
15	Board approve the Investment Committee's	
16	recommendation to approve an initial	
17	application up to 150 million to Fir Tree SPAC	
18	Opportunity separately managed account, as	
19	described in the expanded agenda and further,	
20	to authorize the Executive Director to take	
21	all actions necessary to effectuate this vote.	
22	Is there a motion?	
23	MR. BROUSSEAU: So moved.	
24	MS. FITCH: Second.	

	,3
1	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Be my
2	guest.
3	MR. LI: Thank you.
4	So this recommendation here to
5	Fir Tree, the ticket size we are recommending
6	is 150 million again. And I will go into
7	details later, why that ticket size.
8	To take a step back, Fir Tree Partners
9	was founded in 1994. And they like to follow
10	a value-oriented approach, making investments
11	in both public and private arenas.
12	I will mention that at the Investment
13	Committee meeting, we have discussed
14	extensively the business challenges Fir Tree
15	Partners have been facing in the recent five
16	years. More specifically, firm-wide asset has
17	dropped significantly from the 2014 peak of
18	over 10 billion to today's 3 billion. And
19	also one-third staff has left the firm.
20	Despite the manager's decrease in AUM
21	and head count, we're still recommending this
22	mandate with Fir Tree, with in mind that the
23	recommended mandate is unrelated to the
24	underperforming flagship fund with the

1 manager; and for two, the employee downsizing has mainly been to junior roles; and for 2 three, PRIM's investment will be in a separate 3 account, fully controlled by PRIM. 4 5 While a separate account will isolate us from the business risk this manager faces, 6 7 we believe trading SPACs shares has a lot of 8 alpha and performance potential. So what is SPAC? 9 10 SPAC stands for Special Purpose 11 Acquisition Company. So think of it as a blank check trust that plans to acquire a 12 private business or an asset within two years. 13 14 The manager, Fir Tree here, will 15 selectively participate in IPOs of such blank 16 check trust. So they will effectively buy 17 trust shares into PRIM's account, to start with. 18 19 And a SPAC trust, after IPO, will 20 temporarily park the cash in US treasuries 21 until, in a couple of months or even in one 22 year, the trust finds a business to merge 23 After the merger, such a SPAC trust

will transform into a publicly traded company.

With that concept in mind, we know that the risk here is very minimized because in the end, in the worst-case scenario, if the SPAC trust fails to find a target company to merge with as we, as the investor, can always get the capital bank, and that will be T bills type of returns versus to the upside, if that business merger happens and is successful, then for PRIM's account, we will see equity type of returns.

Fir Tree's SPAC investment has a strong track record since 2005, annualizing over 7 percent on an unlevered basis, and the volatility has been less than 5 percent. So that's a very stable performance profile.

And we're hoping that with a slight leverage, the manager will target to deliver low double-digit net returns to PRIM's account.

I will also mention that we have identified within the manager's organization, a team that is primarily responsible for this track record, and we have negotiated a strong key person clause. So that will give us

		78
1	further protection.	
2	And for the 150 ticket size, we would	
3	like to participate more because Fir Tree is	
4	one of the managers that is offering such a	
5	standalone mandate to us.	
6	However, we still want to bear in mind	
7	that there's a business challenge this manager	
8	is facing, and we would want to see more	
9	positive development on that end.	
10	With that, I can take any questions on	
11	this investment recommendation.	
12	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Questions?	
13	Dennis?	
14	MR. NAUGHTON: Sorry to	
15	disappoint you, Madam Treasurer, not this	
16	time.	
17	TREASURER GOLDBERG: We have a	
18	motion.	
19	We have a second.	
20	Roll call.	
21	MR. BROUSSEAU: Yes.	
22	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Paul?	
23	MR. SHANLEY: Yes.	
24	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Teresa?	

		79
1	MS. MC GOLDRICK: Yes.	
2	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Peter?	
3	MR. MONACO: Yes.	
4	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Jim?	
5	MR. HEARTY: Yes.	
6	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Ellen?	
7	MS. FITCH: Yes.	
8	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Dennis?	
9	MR. NAUGHTON: Yes.	
10	TREASURER GOLDBERG: And myself,	
11	yes.	
12	Thank you. The motion carries.	
13	That was Fir Tree SPAC.	
14	I would seek a motion that the PRIM	
15	Board approve the Investment Committee's	
16	recommendation to approve an additional	
17	investment of up to 50 million to CKC Credit	
18	Opportunity in the emerging manager direct	
19	hedge fund managed account as described in the	
20	expanded agenda, and further, to authorize the	
21	Executive Director to take all actions	
22	necessary to effectuate this vote.	
23	Is there a motion?	
24	MR. BROUSSEAU: So moved.	

especially such a smaller manager, upgrade

1	their system, potentially increase their head
2	count, and reinvest proceeds back to their
3	business so that they can have sustainable
4	growth of the business, which is key to such
5	an emerging manager program.
6	So far CKC has scored high in all the
7	aforementioned aspects, and we're recommending
8	to upsize this mandate by another 50 million.
9	To refresh your memory, CKC is a
10	credit trader based in New York City. We like
11	CKC because they have over 20 years'
12	experience trading new issuance bonds as well
13	as their focus on capital preservation.
14	Performance-wise, since the manager's
15	inception in 2013, CKC credit opportunities
16	has delivered a high single-digit annualized
17	return without any negative month at all.
18	Such an excellent track record
19	provides very strong testimony to the
20	manager's trading skill as well as mindfulness
21	for preservation.
22	With that, if there are any questions,
23	we're happy to address.
24	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Questions

		82
1	on this investment?	
2	None whatsoever?	
3	Dennis?	
4	MR. NAUGHTON: No. Thank you.	
5	TREASURER GOLDBERG: We have a	
6	motion.	
7	We have a second.	
8	Roll call.	
9	Bob?	
10	MR. BROUSSEAU: Yes.	
11	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Paul?	
12	MR. SHANLEY: Yes.	
13	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Teresa?	
14	MS. MC GOLDRICK: Yes.	
15	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Peter?	
16	MR. MONACO: Yes.	
17	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Jim?	
18	MR. HEARTY: Yes.	
19	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Ellen?	
20	MS. FITCH: Yes.	
21	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Dennis?	
22	MR. NAUGHTON: Yes.	
23	TREASURER GOLDBERG: And myself,	
24	yes.	

1	Thank you. The motion carries.
2	I would seek a motion that the
3	PRIM Board approve the Investment Committee's
4	recommendation for Prudential Agriculture
5	Investment, approve manager for PRIM's real
6	assets portfolio as described in the expanded
7	agenda, further to authorize the executive to
8	take all actions necessary to effectuate this
9	vote.
10	Is there a motion?
11	MR. BROUSSEAU: So moved.
12	MS. FITCH: Second.
13	MR. NIERENBERG: Thank you,
14	everyone.
15	So we did go into a great amount of
16	detail on this at the Investment Committee.
17	But we are asking for your approval to hire
18	Prudential as an agricultural manager for the
19	real assets portfolio.
20	This would not be a new allocation of
21	capital. This is to manage the existing
22	portfolio that PRIM currently has.
23	Prudential Agricultural Investments is
24	based in San Francisco. It has offices

1 throughout the United States. It has a very broad team. They have a number of high --2 similar peers to us that are invested in 3 agricultural investment such as the State of 4 Florida. 5 We do believe that this team at 6 7 Prudential is -- has both the knowledge and breadth to be able to manage the high-quality 8 portfolio that we have. 9 10 So I am happy to go into more detail 11 about the organization or anything else, but 12 I'11... 13 TREASURER GOLDBERG: Anybody 14 need -- go ahead, Bob. 15 MR. BROUSSEAU: The 16 recommendation of up to \$500 million, is that 17 quite large? MR. NIERENBERG: It's not new 18 19 capital. It's just based on the size of the 20 existing PRIM farmland portfolio, which is about \$470 million. 21 22 So this is not -- what you are 23 approving is not any additional capital to be approved. There's no additional farmland 24

```
85
1
    that's being purchased at this time.
2
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG: Anything
    further?
3
             Is there something you need to tell
4
5
    us?
                     MR. BROUSSEAU:
6
                                     No.
7
                     MR. MONACO: I guess just one
    question.
8
             Understanding that they have the
9
10
    requisite expertise in the specific areas,
11
    were they familiar with the specific assets in
    our portfolio that they would be assuming
12
13
    responsibility for?
14
                     MR. NIERENBERG: Many of them,
15
    yes. For instance, they have a lot of
16
    experience with wine grapes, which is about 50
    percent of the portfolio. They're comfortable
17
    there.
18
19
              In some cases, for instance, with the
20
    apples, they even use the same farmers that we
21
    currently have so they're very familiar with
22
    apples as well.
23
             We have a large pistachio and almond
    property under development in central
24
```

1 California. Prudential currently has the property literally next door. They're 2 familiar with the soils there, the water 3 rights, and all of that. 4 The one commodity that we have some 5 exposure to, which Prudential is familiar with 6 7 but hasn't had any direct growing interaction, is blueberries, but, yes. 8 Blueberries is a more unusual crop 9 10 within the agricultural space. We feel that 11 it's not -- it's not going to be incredibly difficult for Prudential to have the right --12 they have the right people that they can pick 13 14 that up. 15 MR. BROUSSEAU: Does climate 16 change really come into view with these 17 investments? MR. NIERENBERG: It's hard to 18 19 say. Certainly, for instance, if you look at 20 weather in Michigan over the last few years, it's been kind of hit or miss there. 21 22 I don't know that you can really 23 attribute that specifically to climate change.

There's always some degree of just very

		87
1	natural variation you can have in weather.	
2	But I think going forward, I think the	
3	agricultural industry more generally, so not	
4	speaking specifically about the PRIM portfolio	
5	but more generally, it is something that's	
6	going to be people are starting to think a	
7	lot more about.	
8	We're not at the level yet where you	
9	have people buying land in northern Manitoba,	
10	expecting that to become pecan-growing	
11	territory. But who knows what will happen 40	
12	years from now, maybe less than that. Yes, I	
13	don't know.	
14	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Any other	
15	questions?	
16	We have a motion.	
17	We have a second.	
18	Bob?	
19	MR. BROUSSEAU: Yes.	
20	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Paul?	
21	MR. SHANLEY: Yes.	
22	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Teresa?	
23	MS. MC GOLDRICK: Yes.	
24	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Peter?	

```
1
    positive performance.
             You have to actually go back over 20
2
    years to 1997 to find a better year in which
3
    US stocks and bonds performed this well
4
5
    together.
             On page 7, thanks to the fantastic
6
7
    equity returns and the Fed cutting rates three
    times in 2019, PRIM'S best performing asset
8
    classes for 2019 were global equities and on a
9
10
    net-of-fee basis, core fixed income was
11
    actually the second best performing asset
12
    class.
13
             So really just an unusual year.
14
             In absolute returns, global equities
15
    returned 27 percent, which equates to
16
    $7 billion of gains for the PRIT Fund.
17
             And the 11.3 percent return in core
    fixed income equities equates -- core fixed
18
    income securities equates to $1 billion in
19
    value added to the PRIT Fund.
20
21
             Again, very strong numbers.
22
             Focusing on page 10 very briefly, is
23
    our global equities portfolio.
24
             Across the Board, we had great returns
```

in relative terms as well as in absolute
returns.

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

22

- In total, global requites outperformed
  the benchmark by about 150 basis points net of
  fees, which equates to about \$400 million in
  value added to the PRIT Fund.
  - This is an especially impressive year, given that about half of the portfolio is passively managed. So our active manager did a really fantastic job in 2019.
- US, international, and emerging
  markets, each of those subasset classes all
  outperformed their benchmarks.
- Emerging markets really led the way,
  though, in terms of outperforming the
  benchmarks. They returned over 4 percent on a
  net-of-fee basis.
  - In that scenario, we are 100 actively managed. So, again, we're try to put the active management in the areas where we think active management is going to outperform the most. That certainly was the case in emerging markets.
- 24 As I said before, flipping ahead a few

```
1
    pages to our fixed income portfolio, page 13,
    2019 was a Goldilocks year for fixed income
2
    investments, with the Fed cutting rates three
3
    times and credit spreads narrowing a little
4
    bit. That resulted in a fantastic return.
5
             So long duration US treasuries leading
6
7
    the way with nearly a 21 percent return.
8
    just really incredible performance in 2019.
             I'll stop there and pause there
9
10
    briefly, if you have any questions; otherwise,
    I will just proceed right along to our next
11
    topic, which is an update on one of our core
12
    fixed income managers.
13
14
             It's Progress Investment Management.
15
    They recently announced a decision to wind
16
    down and dissolve their business no later than
17
    May 31 of 2020 due to a decrease in AUM and
    trouble with a disruption in their succession
18
19
    planning.
20
             Progress manages approximately
    $300 million for us since 2016 and has
21
22
    performed very well. Actually, they've
23
    outperformed their benchmark by about 30 basis
    points net of fees since inception.
24
```

Progress is an unusual manager for us.

They are a manager of managers, and they seek

out small emerging managers to manage our

portfolio. Progress is contracted with five

underlying managers to manage our core fixed

income portfolio.

So right now PRIM staff, together with our consultants Callan and Alborne, have begun investment and operational and due diligence on these underlying managers to determine which, if any, of these managers we want to graduate and directly manage assets for us.

It may be appropriate for PRIM to get out of the Progress Management relationship before their May 31 target close-down date.

As a result, as soon as we complete our due diligence on these five managers and decide if any of these managers should graduate and manage assets directly for us, we may move forward more quickly and, therefore, come back at this meeting the next cycle, which is in May, the middle of May, and seek retroactive approval on some of these managers.

```
1
             So that's all I wanted to update you
2
    guys on on that firm.
             If you have any questions, I'm happy
3
4
    to answer them.
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG: Questions?
5
6
                     MR. GURTZ: Great. Thank you
7
    very much.
8
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG:
                                          Thank you,
    David.
9
10
             Now private equity.
11
             Michael Bailey.
                     MR. BAILEY: Thank you, Madam
12
13
    Treasurer.
14
             Good morning, everyone.
15
             Before we get to the voting items, I
16
    thought I would touch on performance for
17
    private equity. It's come up a couple of
    times already this morning.
18
             So that PE portfolio eased off again
19
20
    its performance from last quarter to about
21
    12 1/2 percent, so a decline from the last
22
    time we talked about the portfolio's
23
    performance.
24
             I'll talk in a minute about some of
```

```
1
    the reasons sort of under the hood that were
2
    going on to explain the performance.
             But I'll put it in context before I do
3
    that. A couple of things.
4
             One, it still has very good long-term
5
    performance, and even at 12 1/2 percent, it's
6
7
    not bad performance. But it is pegging along
8
    below its long-term averages in the mid-teens.
             But, two, and I think more
9
10
    importantly, picking up on one of Jay's
11
    expressions a few minutes ago, I think we're
    seeing a little bit of noisiness in this
12
    one-quarter and one-year performance for a
13
14
    couple of reasons.
15
             One, we're still picking up in this
    quarter's performance -- sorry, this one-year
16
17
    performance number, the Q4 of '18. I know it
    seems like a long time ago, but as you recall,
18
    Q4 of '18 was a draw-down or negative quarter
19
20
    for equities, including private equity. It
21
    seems like forever ago.
22
             Then secondly, there's that lag
23
    effect. And secondly, we're not picking up,
    when we talk about public equity, the Q4 of
24
```

1 '19 because, as you recall, we're not including Q4 '19 results in private equity 2 because of the lag appraisal-type evaluation 3 that we did. 4 So we're getting a bad quarter and not 5 picking up what I think would be a better 6 7 quarter as we get the Q4 '19 results into the 8 performance. Then secondly, just to remind you, the 9 10 allocation to PE, as Jay also alluded to, 11 floated down a little bit to 11 percent at the end of the year, mostly because the public 12 equities portfolio rallied and created a 13 14 bigger denominator. 15 So we're under that target, as Eric 16 and Jay talked about, at 11 percent with a 17 target allocation of 13 percent. And then just briefly, sort of under 18 the hood, I think the two strategies that 19 20 worked well in the last short period of time 21 were the two strategies we're trying to push 22 more capital towards: smaller buy-outs and 23 growth equity. 24 We talked about both of those types of investment with you all before. In fact, some of the recommendations we're going to be talking about in a few minutes are in those strategies.

And I would call out technology and healthcare in particular as continuing to perform really well in the portfolios, firms who are specialized in those segments. We saw good performance, including some IPO activity, with companies in the last half of 2019 that added a lot to performance, IPOs of software companies, in particular.

Then on the underperforming side, the two strategies that we've been, I would say, less constructive on, venture capital and larger buy-outs, dragged down the performance, again in a short period of time.

Some of that was related to a public markets issue in the sense that this does seem like a long time ago, but Q3 of '19 small-cap stocks were not doing that well compared to larger-cap stocks.

And we have publicly traded shares inside this portfolio that I call kind of in

1 the parking lot. They're kind of waiting to get out of the parking lot. But we do hold 2 residual public equity in the private equities 3 portfolio that are on their way out, but those 4 dragged down our performance in the Q3 of '19, 5 which is not a great quarter for small-cap US 6 7 equities. 8 So those are some highlights on 9 performance. 10 I think I'd just mention two other 11 quick things. We did finish last year with adding 12 about \$1.6 billion in new commitments to 13 private equity, so pretty close to the target 14 15 we -- or I would say, yeah, the target we set 16 in early 2019. In 2020 you'll see in the details of 17 materials we've again shifted up the pace of 18 allocation a bit to try to get to that 13 19 20 percent. 21 As Jay said, we are really reluctant 22 to do things that are going to sacrifice the quality of the portfolio, but we need to be 23

mindful of to get to that 13 percent target,

1 keeping a steady commitment space and making commitments that are consistent with that. 2 And this year, that's about \$2 billion. We'll 3 see how that plays out, but the pipeline looks 4 5 robust. And then before I shift to the voting 6 7 items, I guess I want to just say how thrilled I am that Alyssa rejoined the team. 8 really excited about the team we've built, and 9 10 I think we're all -- I speak for the whole 11 team when I say that we're really excited to 12 have her back. 13 So I'll stop there, unless there are 14 questions on anything related to performance 15 or other private equity items before we turn 16 to the voting items. 17 TREASURER GOLDBERG: Any 18 questions? 19 MR. BAILEY: So we have --20 sorry. 21 TREASURER GOLDBERG: Our first 22 voting item. 23 It looks like it's a whole bunch of 24 them.

```
1
             So I'm seeking a motion that the PRIM
    Board approve the Investment Committee's
2
    recommendation to approve the following
3
    commitments to funds managed by existing
4
5
    private equity managers as described in the
    expanded agenda:
6
7
             Commitment to Thomas Bravo Fund for
    $300 million, Fund 14;
8
9
             A commitment of 150 million, Thomas
10
    Bravo Discover Fund 3;
11
             60 million to Thomas Bravo Explorer
12
    Fund;
13
             20 million to Index Ventures 10;
14
             50 million to Index Ventures Growth 5;
             150 million to Inside Partners 11;
15
16
             And further, to authorize the
    Executive Director to take all action
17
    necessary to effectuate this vote.
18
             Is there a motion?
19
20
                     MR. BROUSSEAU: So moved.
21
                     MS. FITCH: Second.
22
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG: Go ahead.
23
                     MR. BAILEY: I'm going to say a
    couple of things because we're going to treat
24
```

1 -- they are incumbent firms. We've worked with each of them for more than nine years. 2 And they are firms that the Board has seen 3 before and approved. 4 So I thought I would just say that the 5 Investment Committee reviewed these 6 7 investments a few weeks ago and approved them 8 unanimously. These investments that are eligible 9 10 for co-investment have been -- these managers 11 have been productive participants in PRIM's 12 successful co-investment program. 13 And, third, I think each of them are 14 on strategy with respect to some of those 15 big-picture goals we've set for the program, 16 including adding more capital, smaller 17 buy-outs, and adding more capital to growth 18 equity. 19 So I'm happy to take questions. 20 And Michael McGirr and Sara Zatoonian, 21 who did much of the work on these, are 22 available to answer any specific questions 23 about any of the three managers for whom we're 24 recommending six separate fund commitments.

		101
1	But if there are I'll just stop	
2	there.	
3	I'm happy to take any questions, and	
4	the team's available to take questions.	
5	TREASURER GOLDBERG: We have a	
6	motion.	
7	We have a second.	
8	Bob?	
9	MR. BAILEY: I'll just say	
10	they're on page 38 of the material.	
11	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Thank you.	
12	We'll start again.	
13	Bob?	
14	MR. BROUSSEAU: Yes.	
15	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Paul?	
16	MR. SHANLEY: Yes.	
17	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Teresa?	
18	MS. MC GOLDRICK: Yes.	
19	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Peter?	
20	MR. MONACO: Yes.	
21	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Jim?	
22	MR. HEARTY: Yes.	
23	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Ellen?	
24	MS. FITCH: Yes.	

	<b>3</b> ,	
		102
1	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Dennis?	
2	MR. NAUGHTON: Yes.	
3	TREASURER GOLDBERG: And myself,	
4	yes.	
5	Thank you.	
6	MR. BAILEY: Thank you.	
7	MR. TROTSKY: Thank you,	
8	Michael.	
9	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Tim	
10	Schlitzer, Director of Real Estate.	
11	MR. SCHLITZER: Tim Schlitzer,	
12	Director of Real Estate.	
13	MR. LA CARA: And John LaCara,	
14	Senior Investment Officer, Real Estate.	
15	MR. SCHLITZER: Good morning.	
16	I'll be brief.	
17	We have a few items to cover today, a	
18	couple of voting items.	
19	Just to orient you, the real estate	
20	agenda items are briefly referred to on	
21	page 42, Appendices J through N of the	
22	discussion as well.	
23	I also just quickly want to thank the	
24	Real Estate team very much for their efforts	

this year. I want to acknowledge Minching, 1 who is off to a great start. I really can't 2 say enough about the hard work and focus on 3 the portfolio that this team puts into it day 4 in, day out. 5 Also, I'll just mention that at the 6 7 Real Estate Committee Meeting, Christina 8 provided a deep-dive on the private real estate portfolio, basically the operating 9 10 results from last year and then going forward into 2020. 11 12 It is a great presentation, which she's done for a couple of years now, and it 13 14 was very well received, as usual, by the committee. 15 16 I just wanted to mention that. 17 So let me just speak to performance, which is in Appendices C and J. 18 Real estate ended the year at 9.5 19 20 percent of the fund. Timber was at 3.35 The real estate company completed 21 percent. 22 net acquisitions of \$365 million. That's on 23 the private side, and that's based on approximately \$1.1 billion of activity in 17 24

1 transactions. There were no Timberland 2 transactions for the year. As presented to the Board by John and 3 Maria Garrahan last year -- I know Maria 4 referenced this -- we've begun to fund 5 acquisitions directly with sales from the REIT 6 7 portfolio and sold \$300 million this year in 8 REITs. As you probably know, REITs had a 9 strong year at 23.5 percent, more in line with 10 the public equity markets, which provided a 11 great environment for these sales. 12 13 On the performance side, total real estate returned 11.2 percent for the year. 14 15 That's 170 basis points above the asset class 16 benchmark. 17 All three of our strategies outperformed their respective benchmarks. 18 I'll note that PRIM's portfolio debt 19 20 was written down by about \$60 million, and 21 we've discussed this previously. 22 However, as things sort of finished up 23 at the end of the year, that paper loss -- and

it is a paper loss, I'll emphasize -- was

1 offset really by asset appreciation, which resulted in very little dilution, about 8 2 basis points to the separate account returns. 3 Just shifting to Timberland. 4 returned 80 percent for the year versus the 5 asset class benchmark at 2.1 percent. 6 7 It is worth pointing out that the 8 unlagged benchmark returned 1.3 percent. that's a meaningful downshift. But it still 9 10 results in underperformance of about 50 basis 11 points. I'll note that PRIM's Southern and 12 Australian investments did outperform the 13 14 index for the year. And then Michael touched on the 15 16 Pacific Northwest portfolio, so I won't go 17 through that again. But I'm happy to answer any questions on either of those asset 18 19 classes. 20 And that's my comments on those items. 21 TREASURER GOLDBERG: Any 22 questions on performance? 23 Okay. We have a voting item. And that would be the investment policy. 24

	106
1	So I'll seek a motion that the PRIM
2	Board adopt the enhancements to the real
3	estate and Timberland investment policy as
4	described in the Appendices K and M
5	MR. NAUGHTON: So moved.
6	TREASURER GOLDBERG: K and M
7	of the expanded agenda, and further, to
8	authorize the Executive Director to take all
9	action necessary to effectuate this vote.
10	We have a motion.
11	Is there a second?
12	MR. BROUSSEAU: Second.
13	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Go for
14	it.
15	MR. LA CARA: We recently
16	completed a successful refinancing of a
17	private note placement that was originally
18	done in 2013.
19	I want to thank some people in the
20	back row, starting with Deb, Matt, and George,
21	especially George, he did a lot of hard work
22	on the transaction. There's a lot of stuff
23	going on behind the scenes with collection of
24	data, reviewing documents. He did a great

- job, made it very smooth and seamless for us.
  So I appreciate their efforts.
- That transaction prompted us to take
  another look at the policies, the leverage
  policies, and we took a little bit of a
- 7 policies. And we decided to clean up some

broader look at the overall real estate

- 8 language, which you can find in Appendix K an 9 L, which is the red-line version.
  - Other than small semantic changes regarding target ranges and further defining that, I think the bigger changes are that we further clarified the metrics that we use in our policies, and we meshed those with our reporting requirements on the loans.
  - So, for instance, we changed the term "free cash flow" to "EBITDA." We know the EBITDA. It's audited quarterly. It's in our financial statements. So it's an easier metric to use.
  - We also further defined how we measured the borrowing rate relative to our portfolio performance using an operating yield, which is more of a cash-on-cash number

		108
1	versus a number that might be influenced by	
2	appraisal-based assumptions. So we think	
3	that's a little bit cleaner.	
4	And under the section for investment	
5	vehicles, we struck some language, which if	
6	interpreted incorrectly, in my view, would	
7	preclude us from doing JVs within a separate	
8	account mandate.	
9	We don't think that was the intent of	
10	the language, so we just struck it.	
11	Any direct JVs that we do, like a 53	
12	State Street, would still require an approval	
13	process.	
14	So that's pretty much the changes that	
15	were made. I'm happy to answer any questions.	
16	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Any	
17	questions at all?	
18	Hearing none, we have a motion.	
19	We have a second.	
20	Bob?	
21	MR. BROUSSEAU: Yes.	
22	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Paul?	
23	MR. SHANLEY: Yes.	
24	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Teresa?	

		109
1	MS. MC GOLDRICK: Yes.	
2	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Peter?	
3	MR. MONACO: Yes.	
4	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Jim?	
5	MR. HEARTY: Yes.	
6	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Ellen?	
7	MS. FITCH: Yes.	
8	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Dennis?	
9	MR. NAUGHTON: Yes.	
10	TREASURER GOLDBERG: And myself,	
11	yes.	
12	Thank you.	
13	Next, this is also a voting item.	
14	MR. SCHLITZER: This is also a	
15	voting item.	
16	As you may recall, we have committed	
17	to come to you annually with an internal	
18	management strategy for approval.	
19	I am not planning to go through the	
20	presentation, which is in Appendix N, but I	
21	will make a few comments that I'm happy to	
22	discuss.	
23	So over the last three years, PRIM has	
24	acquired or taken over three assets valued	

110 1 currently at \$687 million. That's \$337 million in equity invested. 2 So we have built at this point over 3 the last three years a small portfolio. 4 5 The overall since inception returns, 8.1 percent. That's 95 basis points above 6 7 benchmark. Returns for 2019 were 10.9 8 percent. I will point out that the leverage is 9 higher in this portfolio, but at 51 percent 10 it's still pretty moderate. 11 On an operating basis, the properties 12 are performing well. 13 14 As you know, two of them are in 15 Boston. Boston is extremely strong right now. 16 The acquisition approach, as presented 17 previously, is not going to change this year. We are going to continue to focus primarily on 18 direct ground lease opportunities, which we 19 20 can lever to increase PRIM's return on equity, 21 and those are very operationally easy, 22 frankly. 23 So if you can get the underwriting and

the acquisition right, they work well for us.

We're also going to continue to look 1 2 for direct joint ventures with vertically integrated but institutionally minded 3 operating partners across traditional property 4 5 types. We are not going to focus on development. 6 7 I would say that 53 State continues to 8 be the model, more or less, kind of on that 9 side of the strategy. 10 I'll note that our approach requires a 11 certain amount of proactive sourcing, and we are continuing to leverage our relationships, 12 form new relationships, and review investment 13 opportunities on a direct basis as they arise. 14 15 I don't think I need to say this, but 16 this is being done in an environment which is 17 extremely competitive for acquisitions and equity partnerships. 18 19 So we're going to commit to you to 20 continue to be patient. We're going to work a 21 good process. And as you know, both the 22 Committee and the Board -- and John just 23 mentioned this -- have the opportunity to 24 review investment opportunities as they arise.

		112
1	So I'm going to leave it at that.	
2	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Questions?	
3	Comments?	
4	We have a motion that the PRIM Board	
5	approve the 2020 real estate internal	
6	management plan as described in Appendix N of	
7	the expanded agenda, and further, authorize	
8	the Executive Director to take all actions	
9	necessary to effectuate this vote.	
10	Is there a motion?	
11	MR. NAUGHTON: Yes, there is.	
12	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Thank you.	
13	MR. NAUGHTON: So move.	
14	MR. BROUSSEAU: Second.	
15	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Additional	
16	questions?	
17	Hearing none, Bob?	
18	MR. BROUSSEAU: Yes.	
19	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Paul?	
20	MR. SHANLEY: Yes.	
21	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Teresa?	
22	MS. MC GOLDRICK: Yes.	
23	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Peter?	
24	MR. MONACO: Yes.	

		113
1	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Jim?	
2	MR. HEARTY: Yes.	
3	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Ellen?	
4	MS. FITCH: Yes.	
5	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Dennis, you	
6	made the motion on this. I'm hoping you're a	
7	yes.	
8	MR. NAUGHTON: I haven't changed	
9	my mind. I am a yes.	
10	TREASURER GOLDBERG: And me.	
11	Thank you.	
12	All right. Here we go.	
13	Anthony Falzone.	
14	MR. FALZONE: Good morning,	
15	everyone.	
16	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Do you want	
17	me to make a motion?	
18	MR. FALZONE: Yes, I think that	
19	makes sense.	
20	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Seek a	
21	motion that the PRIM Board adopt the 2020	
22	custom policy enhancement to PRIM's custom	
23	proxy voting guidelines, as described in	
24	Appendix P of the expanded agenda, and	

114 further, to authorize the Executive Director 1 to take all action necessary to effectuate 2 this vote. 3 Is there a motion? 4 5 MR. BROUSSEAU: So moved. MS. FITCH: Second. 6 7 MR. FALZONE: Thank you. 8 So this item is the update to PRIM's custom proxy voting policy. 9 10 These updates are very 11 straightforward. So I was going to quickly go through them and then open it up for 12 questions, if that works for everyone. 13 I'm going to work from Appendix P, 14 15 starting at the bottom of page 3. 16 So this is a small change to an existing policy, the first one at the bottom 17 of page 3. 18 So currently it is a policy that 19 20 states that we would vote against or withhold 21 from all Board members if less than 30 percent 22 of the Board is diverse in terms of gender and 23 race. 24 We are updating the policy to increase

```
1
    that diversity threshold from 30 to 35
    percent. So, therefore, the policy would read
2
    "vote against, withhold for all Board members
3
    if less that 35 percent of the Board is
4
    diverse in terms of gender and race."
5
             So that is our first change.
6
7
             The next few changes at Appendix P are
8
    ISS's benchmark policy changes, so they don't
    require changes to PRIM's custom proxy voting
9
10
    policy.
11
             But if you please turn to page 11,
    there are some changes that I want to
12
    highlight under the "Equal Employment
13
    Opportunity" section that are changes to
14
    PRIM's custom policy. That starts on page 11.
15
16
             So the additional policy starts at the
17
    bottom of page 12, so I'm going to start
18
    there.
             There are four different items that I
19
20
    want to go over.
21
             So this would create a new section to
22
    prohibit discrimination on the basis of
23
    disability.
24
             So the four items I'll go through are
```

vote for, shareholder proposals to include 1 language in EEO statements specifically 2 barring discrimination on the basis of 3 disability. 4 Vote for shareholder proposals 5 requiring the company to demonstrate that 6 7 their reasonable accommodations policy is in accordance with the Americans With 8 Disabilities Act and state disability law and 9 10 is available to all employees and can be 11 accessed in more than one way. 12 Vote for shareholder proposals seeking 13 reports on the company's efforts to include 14 disability-owned business enterprises, service 15 disabled veteran disability-owned business 16 enterprises, and veteran disability-owned business enterprises in their supplier 17 diversity program. 18 The fourth item would be vote for 19 20 proposals requesting an internal and external 21 audit of website compliance under the World 22 Wide Web Consortium's Web Content 23 Accessibility Guidelines. 24

So those are the four changes that

		118
1	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Jim?	
2	MR. HEARTY: Yes.	
3	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Ellen?	
4	MS. FITCH: Yes.	
5	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Dennis?	
6	MR. NAUGHTON: Yes.	
7	TREASURER GOLDBERG: And myself,	
8	yes.	
9	Thank you. Very good.	
10	Next.	
11	MR. FALZONE: So the next item	
12	isn't a voting item but the results of the	
13	PRIM Board self-evaluation.	
14	So Cortex administered the Board	
15	self-evaluation, and Bob is going to present a	
16	brief summary of the results of the Board	
17	self-evaluation.	
18	I would also like to note that PRIM	
19	staff will provide the Administration Audit	
20	Committee and ultimately the Board with	
21	recommendations based on this report at the	
22	next set of meetings. So that will we are	
23	always looking for areas we can improve or	
24	clarify information. So we'll be presenting	

- 1 that at the next set of meetings. MR. BROUSSEAU: You have it in 2 the document that was handed out this morning. 3 I know you received an e-mail two days ago, I 4 think, from Tom Iannucci at Cortex about our 5 self-evaluation that took place last fall. 6 You'll be happy to know that all nine 7 8 members of the Board participated and did a self-evaluation, and seven Board members 9 10 followed up with phone calls and conversations 11 with Tom. And the report is divided basically into three items. 12 The first couple of pages are nothing 13 14 more than an executive summary of the evaluation. 15 16 And after that, you get a section on 17 comments. And the last one, Appendices A and B. 18 19
  - And the last one, Appendices A and B.

    Appendix A shows the education program, which

    items received the highest scores. And the

    last one, Appendix B, is a summary list of

    recommendations.

21

22

I look at the first part on page 1 of the report, the summary of the results, I

think it's a very positive evaluation. There
were no really strong or negative statements
that were made in terms of how the Board
operates or proceeds and carries on business.

The evaluation identified a very small
number of isolated concerns, and I would say
any of these concerns were usually just one
member of the Board who mentioned it in their
evaluation. So Tom did include these so you

can see.

The first one, I think, is that one trustee felt that perhaps we should return to the five meetings per year. We used to have five meetings per year. It was, I think, two years ago, I think, that we went to the quarterly meetings, which I think for many Board members has been successful. There was one feeling that perhaps it would give us more time to discuss probably things like strategy and things that the Board should be dealing with.

Another trustee suggested that "Current practices do not allow trustees enough time to socialize."

So the idea was perhaps part of the 1 2 education program a few years ago, I recall how well received they were or attended, the 3 brown bag lunches that Michael organized or 4 probably even a workshop at this meeting at 5 the end, but it's very difficult when you've 6 7 been here for 2 1/2 to 3 hours to expect 8 people to stay on in the afternoon for a workshop. That has been the issue, I think, 9 10 the problem of coordinating things like this, 11 to actually give Board members an opportunity to meet, to socialize, and also to learn more 12 13 about PRIM. 14 Another trustee suggested that "The Board does not get a lot of exposure to the 15 16 good work PRIM is doing with its stakeholders," and it's also in the 17 recommendations on the last page, perhaps, if 18 19 somehow a report once or twice a year, 20 probably from Client Services, in terms of the 21 client advisory that Michael established 22 dealing with stakeholders and some of their 23 concerns and also their feelings as to how 24 perhaps PRIM operates and perhaps treats them

- also, which I know it's very well, but the Board is not many times aware of this. And that was that comment.
- On page 2, the retreat, it was felt by
  a member of the Board that the retreat should
  be handled as a regular Board meeting, and the
  trustees should make it their agenda to see
  that it may be, quote, only a retreat. It's
  not where we conduct business, but this person
  felt that the retreat should be treated by
  some as a regular Board meeting.

- Five, succession policies. We know we have succession policies for the ED, but they felt that for other key positions, there should be more succession policies in terms of having staff involved or on Board who could be in the succession process, if and when -- I know it's not going to happen, Michael -- that we lose key staff people or key people here but in terms of succession.
- One trustee said that "PRIM is slowly evolving and becomes very increasingly sophisticated, and the Board needs to work to ensure the operational and governance

```
1
    functions of PRIM are keeping pace with the
    growing demands being placed on them."
2
             And finally another trustee spoke
3
    about "All Boards and committees need to be
4
5
    challenged regularly," and this person
    probably felt that the Board and the
6
7
    committees are not being sufficiently
8
    challenged.
             And then after that, you have a few
9
10
    others. I don't want to read all of them to
11
    you, but on page 3.
             But with respect to No. 4, with the
12
13
    Board education, the Board unanimously
    indicated and voted an optimal amount of time
14
15
    to the following. This, I think, is
16
    important. "The Board felt that there was an
17
    optimal amount of time given to asset
    allocation, manager selection, public and
18
    private markets, and the audit function of
19
    PRIM."
20
21
             I'm going to jump around, because
22
    these, I'm sure, you had them, and you were
23
    able to read them.
24
             On Appendix A, page 4, it's nothing
```

1 more but comments that people made in the conversations with Tom. And, again, if you 2 look, they were positive. I didn't see any --3 as I read through them, any strong negative 4 feelings. 5 On page 5, you can see in Appendix A 6 7 that, again, once again, the areas that reached the highest scores, like with No. 5, 8 as you can see, is governance, fiduciary duty; 9 10 asset allocation and asset mix; also portfolio 11 risk management, value at risk; and we also had fund liabilities. We also saw in here 12 investment and performance measurement as 13 14 things that the Board was interested in in terms of the evaluation. 15 16 And the last page, Appendix B, is 17 simply, as Tony said, that the staff will work on, perhaps, going through some of these 18 recommendations for education when we prepare 19 for the next retreat of the Board sometime 20 probably later this year. 21 22 But if you see the Board education 23 attendance, as I said earlier, at the retreat, more planning for succession, Board operations 24

```
1
    and decision-making, and finally, reporting
    practices, consider directing staff to provide
2
    a short report to the Board periodically about
3
    the activities that we are carrying on as an
4
    organization with our stakeholders.
5
             And with that, I don't know if there
6
7
    are any other comments or any questions.
             Again, I'm going to thank the Board
8
    again -- and I know the Treasurer will -- for
9
10
    participating in this process. But it's very
11
    important that we look at ourselves at least
12
    once a year.
13
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG:
                                          T was kind
14
    of shocked to hear you say only seven of the
    nine --
15
16
                    MR. BROUSSEAU:
                                     No.
                                          I said
    earlier all nine did the evaluation.
17
    out of the nine chose to have a telephone
18
    conversation with Tom at Cortex.
19
20
             So he did speak with seven.
21
             You don't have to chastise anybody,
22
    Madam Treasurer.
23
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG:
                                          I would
    never do that.
24
```

	126
1	MR. FALZONE: I would just ask
2	if there was something specific within the
3	Board's self-evaluation that you want to have
4	a discussion with me about or something you
5	want me to focus on, please let me know, and
6	we'll prepare a formal response that I'll go
7	over at the next set of meetings. But I'm
8	happy to receive any input from the Board.
9	MR. BROUSSEAU: That will be in
10	May?
11	MR. FALZONE: Yes.
12	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Thank you,
13	everyone, on that.
14	MR. BROUSSEAU: Yes, thank you.
15	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Any other
16	questions or comments on this?
17	Again, thank you, everyone, on that.
18	MS. FITCH: This is done on an
19	annual basis or every three years?
20	MR. BROUSSEAU: No, it's done on
21	an annual basis. So we'll be doing it later
22	this year for November, this fall.
23	MS. FITCH: Thank you.
24	MR. BROUSSEAU: I think we were

```
1
    a little out of sync last fall.
                    MR. FALZONE: Based on the --
2
    all the contact you had with Cortex on the
3
    governance manual work, I didn't think it made
4
    sense to take up more of your time.
5
                    MR. BROUSSEAU: We did not have
6
7
    the opportunity to have our retreat, which
    was -- it was pushed forward from the
8
    September date to December.
9
10
             So in terms of Board education, that
11
    colored some of the comments that were made by
12
    one trustee out of the nine.
13
                    MS. FITCH: Thank you.
14
                    MR. FALZONE:
                                   Thank you.
15
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG:
                                          That would
16
    be all on that.
             We are at legal legislative update.
17
             Deputy Chris Supple.
18
19
```

MR. SUPPLE: So there has been some recent activity at the State House regarding some legislative proposals that would have relevance for PRIM if they were enacted and became law, including some legislation filed by the treasury, and Emily

20

21

22

23

from the treasury's legislative office is here 1 2 and has graciously agreed to give us a summary of some of that. 3 MS. KOWTOWIUK: Hi, I'm Emily 4 Kowtoniuk, and I work for the Treasurer. 5 So the bills that we've tracked 6 7 on behalf of PRIM, PRIM-related, fall into 8 three primary buckets. So we've been looking at divestment 9 legislation, diversity equity and inclusion 10 legislation, and then legislation that 11 pertains to the PRIM Board's membership. 12 So we just hit kind of a key milestone 13 in the two-year legislative process, which is 14 15 joint committee day, and that's the day where 16 all joint committees have to report all of the 17 bills before them. So we have a pretty clear sense as to which direction the legislature is 18 moving in on these initiatives. 19 20 In terms of divestment, the bulk of 21 the divestment bills were before the joint 22 committee on public service, and house chair 23 has a bright-line rule against any divestment

initiatives moving forward. So all of the

```
1
    divestment bills that were placed before the
    Public Service Committee, they all went to
2
    study, including a bill that the Treasurer had
3
    filed to divestment, firearms commission.
4
             There was one bill, however, that was
5
    before the Joint Committee on Public Safety,
6
7
    and that bill did advance. It was just
    referred to the House Committee on Ways and
    Means, and that would require a divestment
9
10
    from countries in which genocide is taking
11
    place.
             So that's the only divestment
12
    initiative that we see moving forward.
13
             Turning to diversity equity and
14
15
    inclusion.
                The Treasurer has filed a bill,
16
    alongside one of our house champions, to make
    sure that the ETI initiative that PRIM invests
17
    in or directs investment is intentional in
18
    terms of investing in women-owned and
19
20
    minority-owned businesses and entities.
21
             So we're going to be working with PRIM
22
    staff to make sure that that language is as
23
    effective as possible as that bill moves
    forward.
24
```

It did receive a strong favorable report by the committee, and we're waiting right now to see which secondary committee it's referred to.

Then in the last bucket, the membership bucket, we've seen iterations of tweaks to the PRIM Board membership for several sessions now.

These bills have traditionally always been sent to study because they would have added members to the PRIM Board.

And based on some feedback that one of the key Senate filers of this legislation received about dilution of the Board's membership, there was a new approach that was filed this session by Senator Keenan, and this bill would, instead of adding a member to the Board, would change the composition. It would require the governor to appoint their public safety appointee from a panel of three individuals selected by member participants.

So that bill did actually advance, which was a change to what we've seen in past sessions. And, again, we're waiting for that

```
1
    bill to be referred to its second committee
2
    right now.
                    MR. BROUSSEAU: Emily, the
3
    divestment issue, I can recall only two years
4
    ago we had people out on the corner here
5
    holding signs and lobbying this Board, and
6
7
    they appeared here and spoke before us.
             I can't believe that the legislators
8
    are now getting the same kind of pressure put
9
10
    on them by a lot of individuals. I know I've
11
    got an e-mail from one of our members who was
    very strong about the divestment of fossil
12
            I replied to the e-mail, told them
13
14
    what our policy is.
15
                     TREASURER GOLDBERG:
                                          I can tell
16
    you why because the members have called me to
17
    have me explain to them why. In their case
    it's typically they're interested in
18
19
    progressive Democratic treasurers, what the
20
    position is of treasurers overall and
21
    Democratic treasurers.
22
             It is not -- divestment is not
23
    supported by Democratic treasurers.
24
    Shareholder engagement and activism is.
```

	132
1	I have given the data. I have told
2	them the position of several Democratic
3	treasurers Vermont; Rhode Island; DiNapoli,
4	who is the controller in New York; Stringer,
5	who is New York City and none of them
6	support divestment in fossil fuels, and, in
7	fact, the fossil fuel companies have the most
8	amount of resources to invest in alternatives
9	and are doing so.
10	So that's the answer. They like the
11	answer. They're using the answer. And I
12	think it's finally having an impact.
13	I have just caught a call two weeks
14	ago on this from a Lowell Democratic state
15	representative, Lowell and Chelmsford, to be
16	specific.
17	MR. BROUSSEAU: Good.
18	TREASURER GOLDBERG: I give them
19	what they need, and then they're happy.
20	Let's see. Are we done?
21	MR. SUPPLE: Yes.
22	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Next is
23	other matters.
24	MR. FALZONE: THE budget is

		133
1	there, and a client service update is there	
2	for your reading pleasure.	
3	TREASURER GOLDBERG: I think	
4	that might be it.	
5	MR. TROTSKY: That is it.	
6	TREASURER GOLDBERG: The pile	
7	was misleading.	
8	Thank you very much. I would seek a	
9	motion to adjourn.	
10	MR. BROUSSEAU: So moved.	
11	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Second?	
12	MR. SHANLEY: Second.	
13	TREASURER GOLDBERG: It's a roll	
14	call.	
15	Bob?	
16	MR. BROUSSEAU: Yes.	
17	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Paul?	
18	MR. SHANLEY: Yes.	
19	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Teresa?	
20	MS. MC GOLDRICK: Yes.	
21	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Peter?	
22	MR. MONACO: Yes.	
23	TREASURER GOLDBERG: Jim?	
24	MR. HEARTY: Yes.	

## Minutes of the Board Meeting - February 26, 2020

```
134
1
                      TREASURER GOLDBERG:
                                            Ellen?
 2
                      MS. FITCH: Yes.
 3
                      TREASURER GOLDBERG: Dennis?
 4
                      MR. NAUGHTON:
                                      Yes.
                      TREASURER GOLDBERG: And myself,
5
6
    yes.
7
                      Thank you.
8
9
               (Meeting adjourned at 11:51 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Darlene M. Coppola, Registered
3	Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter,
4	do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	transcript is a true and accurate
6	transcription of my stenographic notes taken
7	on February 26, 2020.
8	
9	$\Omega = \Omega$
10	NGX
11	Darlene M. Coppola
12	Registered Merit Reporter
13	Certified Realtime Reporter
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	